STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision: of a Determination or Refund of Corporation
Franchise Tax under Article(s) 9A of the Tax:
Law for the Years 1978 & 1979.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany:

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 27th day of August, 1987, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc. the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc. 7575 East Fulton Road Ada, MI 49355

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 27th day of August, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision: of a Determination or Refund of Corporation Franchise Tax under Article(s) 9A of the Tax: Law for the Years 1978 & 1979.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 27th day of August, 1987, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Thomas Zandstra, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Thomas Zandstra 7575 East Fulton Road Ada, MI 49355

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 27th day of August, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

August 27, 1987

Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc. 7575 East Fulton Road Ada, MI 49355

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 453-4301

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: Thomas Zandstra 7575 East Fulton Road Ada, MI 49355

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MUTUAL BROADCASTING SYSTEM, INC.

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Corporation Franchise Tax under Article 9-A of the Tax Law for the Years 1978 and 1979.

Petitioner, Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc., 7575 East Fulton Road, Ada, Michigan 49355, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of corporation franchise tax under Article 9-A of the Tax Law for the years 1978 and 1979 (File No. 58244).

A hearing was held before Arthur Johnson, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on January 14, 1987 at 9:15 A.M., with all briefs to be submitted by May 27, 1987. Petitioner appeared by Thomas Zandstra. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Gary Palmer, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUES

- I. Whether petitioner is entitled to a net operating loss carryback.
- II. Whether a penalty imposed for late filing of Federal tax changes should be cancelled.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc. was a national network radio broadcaster, which operated as a subsidiary of Mutual Broadcasting Corporation prior to September 30, 1977.

2. On September 30, 1977, Amway Communications Corporation ("Amway I") purchased all the assets of Mutual Broadcasting Corporation which consisted of the following:

100% of the stock of Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc.

100% of the stock of Mutual Sports, Inc.

51% of the stock of Mutual Reports, Inc.

The corporations operated under the above structure from September 30, 1977 through May 30, 1978.

- 3. On May 31, 1978, Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc., Mutual Sports, Inc. and Mutual Reports, Inc. were liquidated into Amway I in a tax free liquidation under Internal Revenue Code § 334(b)(2).
- 4. The name of Amway I was then changed to Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc. Accordingly, said corporation is the petitioner herein.
- 5. Also on May 31, 1978, Amway Communications Corp. ("Amway II") was formed to hold the stock of Amway I.
- 6. For the fiscal year ending August 31, 1978, a combined New York State Franchise Tax Report was filed which included the following entities:

<u>Corporation</u>	Period
Amway II Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc. Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc. (formerly Amway I) Mutual Sports, Inc.	5/31/78-8/31/78 9/30/77-5/30/78 9/30/77-8/31/78 9/30/77-5/30/78

7. For the period ending August 31, 1980, petitioner sustained a net operating loss. Petitioner filed a consolidated Federal return with other affiliated corporations. The Federal net operating loss on a separate basis for petitioner for the fiscal year ending August 31, 1980 was \$5,113,421.00. The corresponding New York State net operating loss for petitioner for the same period was adjusted to \$4,650,198.00, which represented the Federal loss

reduced by the addback of interest expense deemed to be indirectly attributed to subsidiary capital pursuant to Tax Law § 208.9(b)(6).

- 8. On May 11, 1984, petitioner filed a report of change in taxable income by the United States Treasury Department, which increased petitioner's income for 1978 by \$1,045,675.00.
- 9. On May 11, 1984, petitioner also filed a claim for refund based on a carryback of the August 31, 1980 net operating loss to the fiscal years ending August 31, 1978 and August 31, 1979.
- 10. The Audit Division disallowed the entire net operating loss deduction of \$4,950,660.00 for the fiscal year ending August 31, 1978 while allowing \$1,404,851.00 of the net operating loss as a carryback to the fiscal year ending August 31, 1979.
- 11. On November 15, 1984, the Audit Division issued a Statement of Audit Adjustment to petitioner for the fiscal year ending August 31, 1978, increasing petitioner's Federal taxable income by \$1,045,675.00, based on the Federal adjustment, disallowing the net operating loss and asserting that an additional tax of \$21,390.00 was due. In disallowing the net operating loss deduction, the statement explained:

"The \$4,950,660.00 net operating loss deduction claimed as a carryback from your 8/80 loss period of Mutual Broadcasting (successor corp.) to the combined group's 8/78 taxable period, has been disallowed.

For the periods involved, section 381 of the Internal Revenue Code allowed net operating loss carryovers to the surviving (acquiring) corporation in certain tax-free mergers or reorganizations (unless Section 334(b)(2) applied). Only the survivor of a Section 368(a)(1)(F) reorganization was allowed to carryback losses against pre-merger income. A merger under Section 334(b)(2) -- as in your instance -- was (and is still) precluded from carrying over and/or carrying back any pre/post-merger losses.

With respect to the net operating loss you incurred for the period ended 8/80, it is allowed only to the extent that it can be carried back and applied to the same taxable entity that incurred the loss.

Based on the aforementioned, the first taxable period (of your own taxable entity) to which your 8/80 loss may be applied is the period ended 8/79.

Since you did not report the increase in federal taxable income (based on the adjustments made by the U.S. Treasury Department) within 90 days of determination, penalty has been imposed for late filing of the federal change."

12. Also on November 15, 1984, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Deficiency to petitioner for \$21,390.00 in tax, interest of \$16,824.20 and an "additional charge" (penalty) of \$5,347.00 for the fiscal year ending August 31, 1978, less credits of \$7,071.16 for the fiscal year ending August 31, 1979 and \$16,020.83 for the fiscal year ending August 31, 1980.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That, as a general rule, the deduction of a net operating loss carryback or carryover is personal to the taxpayer who sustained the loss (New Colonial Ice Co. v. Helvering, 292 US 435).
- B. That exceptions to the aforesaid general rule may be found in Internal Revenue Code § 381(a), which deals with carryovers in certain corporate liquidations and reorganizations.
- C. That since the transaction at issue involved a liquidation under Internal Revenue Code § 334(b)(2), the carryover provisions of Internal Revenue Code § 381(a) are inapplicable (see Internal Revenue Code § 381[a][1]).

 Accordingly, the loss may be deducted only by petitioner.
- D. That petitioner's reliance on Treas. Reg. § 1.1502 et seq., dealing with consolidated Federal tax returns, is misplaced. As petitioner, the corporation which sustained the loss, was a member of a combined group during the income year, the loss may be deducted only as provided in 20 NYCRR 3-8.7(a). Petitioner has not shown that it is entitled to an additional deduction under such regulation.

- E. That petitioner did not sustain its burden of proof under Tax Law \$ 1089(e) to show reasonable cause; accordingly, the penalty asserted must stand (20 NYCRR 9-1.5).
- F. That the petition of Mutual Broadcasting System, Inc. is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued November 15, 1984, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

AUG 27 1987.

PRESTREMT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER