Sucone Par Determin A-Z

Fiorenzo, Joseph and Jarephine

State Tax Commission

Ira J. Palestin

Joseph Fiorenso and Josephine Fiorenso, his wife

Formal Hearing on Petition for Redetermination of Deficiency in the amount of \$4,795.96 of Personal Income Tax for years 1961, 1962 and 1963

by petition swern to March 31, 1963, these taxpayers prayed for redetermination of deficiency in the sum of \$4,795.96 for tax years 1961, 1962 and 1963. The ground of their petition is the disallowance of a deduction, "cost of goods sold", reached by subtracting a "closing inventory" sum for each of the applicable years from the total of such year's "opening inventory" and additional current purchases during the year.

A formal hearing was held May 22, 1967 at 80 Centre Street, Mew York, M. Y. before Ira J. Palestin as Mearing Officer. Tempayers did not attend the hearing; instead, they were represented by Murray Schindel, CPA, whose power of atterney from tampayers appears in the file. Mr. Schindel responded to questioning by the Hearing Officer. At the conclusion of this collegny Mr. Schindel stipulated that all of the items of fact in the statements he made on the record constituted stipulations of fact in behalf of tempayers.

At the hearing it was shown that for the applicable years, 1961, 1962 and 1963, taxpayers were in the retail dresswear rental business, buying and renting tunedos for weddings and other occasions. Their income tax returns show their business to be retail dresswear rental. (See paragraph (1) in the accompanying proposed determination.) Occasionally, taxpayers sell tuxedos to "whoever will come in" (i.e. to whoever will purchase). The representative was unable to give a breakdown as between volume of total rental receipts and total sales receipts. But the evidence in the file indicates that their dresswear "are used for rentals only. No merchandise is seld." (See paragraphs (2) and (3) of accompanying proposed determination.)

It is found that taxpayers' own characterisation of their business appearing on their Pederal and State income tax returns, as well as on their unincorporated business tax returns, as being the dresswear rental business stamps unitemised and unidentified

sales of second hand merchandise as de minimis.

Further supporting the conduct of a rental business, in which their dresswear items were capital assets, tampayers depreciated such dresswear straight-line over a three-year period. At the same time they treated their dresswear items as inventory, subject to deduction of cost of goods sold from gross receipts. (For further identification of such cost of goods sold deduction see paragraph (4) of the accompanying proposed determination.) In this manner, tampayers took duplicate and cumulative deductions referable to the merchandise used in their dresswear rental business: (a) the cost of such items by straight-line depreciation over a three-year period and (b) a deduction from gross receipts of "closing inventory" as subtracted from the particular year's "opening inventory" plus that year's current dresswear purchases.

Income Tax Bureau's statement of audit changes dated March 15, 1965 eliminated the cost of goods sold, less materials and supplies, for 1961, 1962 and 1963. Thereby taxable income as shown in paragraph (6) of the accompanying proposed determination was increased. A notice of deficiency in the sum of \$4,302.35 was issued.

Upon the record and evidence in the file, such statement of audit changes and notice of deficiency for the applicable years are correct. The proposed determination which this memorandum accompanies so finds.

Ira J. Palestin

Dated: January 3/, 1968

2-14-68

STATE OF MIN TORK

STACE TAX COUNTESTAN

IN THE MATTER OF THE PERTILOR

GP.

JOSEPH FIGRENCE and JOSEPHINE FIGRENCO, Die wife

FOR A RECEIVERING WAY OF A DEPTCIONAL OF A PROPERTY OF PARAMETER OF PARAMETER OF A PROPERTY OF A PROPERTY OF A PROPERTY OF A PARAMETER OF A P

Tampayers, Joseph Pickensky and Josephine Pickenson, his wife, having duly filed a patition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tames and unin-corporated business tames for the years 1961, 1968 and 1963 (File No. 3-8037047), and a formal hearing having been hold at the Office of the State Tam Gammissian, State Office Building, 80 Campre Street, New York, N. Y. on May 82, 1967 at 10100 A.M. o'clock, and MERRAY SCHEMBEL, C.P.A., naving appeared and stipulated on the record statements of facts at the cold hearing in bohalf of tampayers, and the matter having been duly emmined and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby finder

- (1) During the years 1961, 1962 and 1963, tempayers were in the retail dresswear rental business. (See their our characterisation of their business given in their E2-801, E2-802 and Perm 1940, Schedule C, returns for years 1961, 1962 and 1963.) Tempayers "buy tumpdes and them, in general, remained to people" for weddings and other factivities. Additionally, "they sell tumpdes to wheever will come in" (s.m.3).
- (2) No breakdown as between volume of total rentals and of total sales was supplied by tanyayers or by their said representative Murray Schindel, C. P. A. at the hearing although such information was requested (s.m.3, 9-10). Me itemisstics was seven of tanyayers' sales of items bought for their retail dresswear rental business although such information was requested (s.m. 10-12, 14).

- (3) Sampayers' unspectfied sales of second hand electring purchased new for their remtal business are minimal in the production of the green receipts from their business. See the letter dated Seconder 17, 1964 of Milliam W. Kata, tempayers' accountant during the years at lesse: "All of these are used for rentals only. No merchandies is said."
- (4) For the tax years in issue, 1961, 1962 and 1963, temperors depreciated dresswer items straight-line over a three-year period. See Schedule C, 1040's for 1961, 1962 and 1963 in the file. In addition, dresswer items were included as spening inventory for such years, to which were added temperors' purchases for each respective year; from such total was deducted "cleaning inventory." (p.m.4) The result so reached for each year was reported as "cost of goods sold." See
- (5) Thus, while annually deducting etwalght-line depresention on dramawar items, recevering the cost thereof ever
 a three-year period, tempayers also deducted from grows recipts
 for each year under review the cost of dresswear items discontinued during such year. In this wise tempayers test duplience and summissive deductions referable to the serebandies
 used in their business of dresswear recipil.
- (6) On March 15, 1966 a ptersonant of sudit changes eliminating as a deduction the cost of goods sold, less substities and supplies, for 1961, 1968 and 1963, and correspondingly in-

(7) On the same day there was leaved a notice of deficiency in the sum of \$4363.54 with interest, increasing beats ferencel Income Tax and Unincorporated Rusiness Tax for the respective years as follows:

Personal Lucome Tax 402,00 4172,50 4172,50 593,49

On the foregoing findings and all the evidence herein, too State Tax Commission hereby

METERS.

- (A) The inclusion within gross business receipts of sums, if any, derived from the sale of dresswar is as minimis. Taxpayers' dresswar stock constitute applied aspect in their rental business and are subject to depreciation, which was taken. It is erronaus additionally to deduct from gross receipts, as goods cold in each year, the each of such capital access discontinued from spectations in respective years.
- (B) The statement of audit changes and the notice of deficiency for years 1961, 1962 and 1963 are convect and do not include any tax or other charge not lawfully due and owing. The petition of taxpayers for redetermination or refund is denied. Reted, Albany, New York this 13thday of March , 1968.

STATE TAX COUNTESTED

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
	FreeDay
/s/	A. BRUCE MANLEY
/s/	SAMUEL E. LEPLER