POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

L 9 (12-67)

BUREAU OF LAW

MEMORANDUM

AN Remard, Homes H.

TO:

State Tax Commission

FROM:

Alfred Rubinstein, Hearing Officer

SUBJECT:

Petition of Homer H. Leonard for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes Under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1965

The taxpayer has advised that he will be unable to appear at a hearing. He is an invalid, confined to his home, and, as appears from his letter dated February 19, 1966, he is willing to accept a decision based on the papers submitted. At no time has the taxpayer ever raised an issue as to his liability for the deficiency. The petition merely sets forth that the taxpayer is unable to pay. As no useful purpose would be served in scheduling a bearing in Buffale at which the taxpayer would not appear, I em of the opinion that the Tax Germissian may de-cide the matter on documents contained in the file.

Homer M. Leonard was the sole stockholder and president of H. H. Leonard, Inc. of 95 Main Street, Hamburg, New York. On July 15, 1965 the corporation went out of business, hopelessly insolvent (see letter of taxpayer dated February 11, 1966). At that time the corporation, as an employer, had withheld \$396.80 of New York income taxes from employees' sclaries which sum had not been remitted. A jeopardy assessment was issued against the corporation on November 10, 1965. Taxpayer replied by letter, received November 22, 1965, advising that the corporation was defunct and unable to pay, stating further, "I know that I am personally responsible for said taxes."

On May 2, 1967 the matter was referred to Albany, as uncollectible against the corporation. A 100% penalty was imposed against the texpaper, individually, pursuant to the provisions of section 685(g) of the Tax Law for his failure to pay over the withheld taxes, by Notice of Deficiency dated July 3, 1967 (File No. 16-0875151). On August 15, 1967 taxpayer filed a petition for redetermination, in which he raised no issue as to his liability or as to the amount of the deficiency, contending only that he was unable to pay, requesting the Tax Counissies to write this off as uncollectible."

The texpaper was advised by letter dated December 11, 1967 that a hearing would be scheduled in Buffale, and by his letter dated February 19, 1968, he advised the hearing officer of his inability to attend a hearing because of physical disability which confined him to his home.

The issue involves the personal liability of the taxpayer, as a corporate officer, for taxes withheld and not paid over. The taxpayer concedes his liability, but contends that the deficiency imposed against him should be abated because of his inability to pay.

The same issue arose in the Matter of the Petition of Charles A. Smith, decided July 21, 1966, and based upon the decision in that matter, and the cases cited by Mearing Officer Solomon Sies in his memorandum dated June 21, 1966, there is ne question but that this taxpayer's failure to pay over and account for taxes withheld by the corporation of which he was the sole stockholder and president was willful. In fact, he concedes as much. The sole question remaining to be considered is his request for abstement.

Paragraph "Fifteenth" of section 171 of the Tax Law empowers the Tax Commission to compromise taxes, interest and panalties in cases of bankrupt or proven insolvent tax debters, provided the amount payable in compromise is no less than is recoverable by legal proceedings.

Originally, under Article 16 of the Tax Law, section 373(6) permitted the Tax Commission to abate a small unpaid balance, pursuant to uniform rules, where collection costs would not warrant collection procedures, or where an assessment was excessive, erroneous or illegal, and further provided that no claim for abatement could be filed by the taxpayer. Also under Article 16 of the Tax Law, section 378 provided for abatement of unpaid taxes of certain members of the armed forces upon death.

The abatement provisions of section 373(6) were carried over to Article 22 of the Tax Law in section 697(e) and those contained in section 378 were likewise carried over in section 696(e). In addition, Article 22 provides, at section 690(d), for abatement of a deficiency disallowed after Court review; at section 694(c) for abatement of all or part of a jeopardy assessment to the extent such assessment is excessive; and at 694(g) for abatement of a jeopardy assessment where there is, in fact, no jeopardy.

While no uniform rule or regulation has been adopted under section 697(c) to permit abatement of small unpaid balances, a proposed resolution is now under consideration by the Tax Commission (and may have, in the meantime, been adopted) under which certain uncollectible balances may be abated. Under the proposed

resolution the maximum abatement, where a warrant has not been filed, is \$100.00, and then only after 20 years from the date of assessment, or due date or filing of the return, whichever is later.

It does not appear, consequently, that any present sufficient facts to variant consideration of a comprepresent sufficient facts to variant consideration of a compremise, if one should be offered in accordance with section 171
of the Tax Law. The taxpayer has not been discharged in beakruptey, nor has there been offered any proof of his insolvency. His
sole sources of income, at this time, are social security benefits and a pension from the Veterans Administration, and the
deficiency may, ultimately, prove to be uncollectible. Nevertheless, it is my opinion that the determination of deficiency
should be sustained, on the applicable law. In the event such
deficiency should, in the future, qualify for abatement or compremise proper action may be taken at such time. If the decision
of the Tax Commission is in accord with this opinion, the taxpayer should be advised that the histrict Office or appropriate
Bureau may review the matter, should the deficiency subsequently
qualify for abatement or compremise.

The decision of the Tax Commission should be substantially in the form herewith submitted.

/s/	ALFRED RUBINSTEIN	
	TANK BY STATES	

ARijum Rac. March 26, 1968

3-29-68

STATE OF HEN TORK
STATE TAX GENELLESION

IN THE MATTER OF THE PRESTITION

MONER E. LEGRADO

POR REDUPERMENTATION OF A REFIGURED OR S POR REFUED OF PERSONAL INCOME TAXES WHERE ARTICLE 22 OF THE TAX LAW FOR S THE YEAR 1965

mation of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Sax Law for the year 1965, and the matter having been assigned to Alfred Rubinstein, Bearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance, for hearing, and the tempoper having requested a decision based on the papers cubalited by him due to his insbility to attend a hearing, and the matter having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

(1) That H. H. Lounard, Inc. was a corporation doing business in Hamburg, New York until July 15, 1965 when it suspended its business activities because of insolveney; that during 1965, while conducting business in Her York, H. H. Lounard, Inc., as an employer, withhold Her York tames from the salaries and wages of its employees in the total sum of \$396.80; that such tames in the sum of \$396.80 were not remitted or paid over to the State of Her York or accounted for; that on Herember 10, 1965, the Income Tax Europe issued a Josephy accomment (Pile No. 16-0075151) for such sum of \$396.80 pursuant to the provisions of section 698(a) of the Tax Lew, and demanded payment of the tense withhold by

- M. M. Leonard, Inc.; that on May 2, 1967, the accessment was determined to be uncellectible as against M. M. Leonard, Inc.
- (2) That during 1965, the tempeyer, Hener H. Leenard, was the sole stockholder and president of H. H. Leenard, Enc., and personally supervised, managed and controlled the corporation's business activities and operations; that the tempeyer, as a corporate efficer, caused to be deducted and withhold from the salaries and unges of the employees of H. H. Leenard, Enc. Her Terk temes in the total sun of \$396.80; that the tempeyer, as a corporate officer, was under a duty to remit, pay over or account for such temes to the State of Her York; that such temes were not remitted, paid over or accounted for to the State of Her York; that such temes withhold were co-mingled with the corporation's funds and treated as corporate exects.
- (3) That on July 3, 1967, the Income Tax Reveau, pursuant to section 685 of the Tax Law, issued a notice of deficiency imposing a panalty of \$396.80 against the taxpayer, equal to the taxes withheld by E. E. Leonard, Inc. and not paid ever to the State of New York, on a finding that the taxpayer, as a person required to collect and pay ever taxes, had willfully failed to do so; that on August 15, 1967, the taxpayer filed a potition for redetermination, contending merely that he was unable to pay the deficiency and requesting abatement.
- (4) That subsection (c) of section 697 of the Tex Low provides in part: "No claim for abatement shall be filed by a texperer."

Pased upon the foregoing findings and all the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby

DECIDED:

(A) That the tampayer, Honor H. Leenard, as the sole

person as defined in section 605(1) of the Tax Low, required to collect, account for and pay over taxes within the meaning of section 605(g) of the Tax Low; that the taxpayer failed to pay over such taxes in the sum of \$395.80; that such failure to pay over taxes willful within the meaning of section 605(g) of the Tax Low.

- (3) That by virtue of finding of fact He. 4, the tempeyer's claim for abetement is hereby rejected.
- (C) That, accordingly, the notice of deficiency impeciag
 a penalty of \$396.80 against the tampayer for 1965 is correct;
 that the amount set forth therein is due and owing tagether with
 interest, if any, and other statutory charges; that said notice of
 deficiency does not include any tax or other charges which could not
 have been lawfully demanded, and that tampayer's potition for
 redetermination with respect therete be and the same is hereby
 demied.

Dated: Albany, New York this lith day of April , 1968 .

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
	2. 2. 3. 45. 5. 15
/s/	A. BRUCE MANLEY
	Security (Security)
	4
/s/	SAMUEL E. LEPLER
	Country of Country