## **POOR QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE **FADED & BLURRED**

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

L 9 (3-68)

BUREAU OF LAW

MEMORANDUM Zipian, Zonio

TO:

State Tax Commission

FROM:

Francis V. Dow. Boaring Officer

SUBJECT:

LOUIS LIPIAN

Application for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Texas under Article 16 of the Tex Lev for the Year 1957

Mearings with reference to the above natter were held before me at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on June 21, 1967 and at the State Compus, Albery, New York on August 1, 1967. The oppositions and the exhibits produced were as shown in the strangeophic minutes submitted herewith.

The texperer filed a New York resident tex return in which he reported net income of \$11,419.30 and claimed deductions for excludeble sick pay of \$1,300, contributions of \$435 and medical expenses of \$1,018,51. He also reported that he had a profit of \$67,618,41 ea the distribution of assets of PKL Bats, Inc. on its liquidation. He elaimed that the capital gain was subject to installment seles treatment and that he collected \$30,000 during the year of which 43.67% or \$13,044 was reportable profit. He elained an unused capital loss carryover from 1951 in the amount of \$7,387.50 and na unused capital loss carryover from 1955 in the amount of \$16,000. He deducted the alleged capital loss carryovers from the \$13,044 and reported that he had no taxable capital gains for the year.

An assessment of additional tax for 1997 was issued on September 27, 1963 (Assessment No. BFA 00099). It assessed additional normal tex, not capital gains tax, penalty and interest in the sum of \$9,003.47 on the basis that the deductions claimed for excludable mick pay, contributions and medical expenses were disallowed as unsubstanti-sted; that as sudited, the taxpayer received assets valued at \$75,798.48 on the liquidation of PKL Bats, Inc. which were subject to not expital gains tax; that the capital gains tax transactions reported on the texperer's return were not established and were not considered and that a 100% penalty was imposed on the additional tax due plus interest at the rate of 15 per menth on the additional tax and penalty.

PIL Rats, Inc. was incorporated on Jenuary 15, 1953. On Jenuary 16, 1953, the temperor was the purchaser on a feroclasers sale of a chattel sortgage of all the sechinery, fixtures, furniture and equipment used in connection with the business of Roth Grill, Inc. which operated a restaurant in Manhattan. The tempayor paid \$1,300

for the assets. These assets were transferred to PML Rets, Inc.

On March 19, 1956, the Board of Biroctors of PKL Rate, Inc. resolved to liquidate the corporation. On May 15, 1956, the corporation sold the restaurant equipment to Senior's Restaurant, Inc. for \$250,000. The purchase price was paid partly in each and partly in purchase money notes secured by a chattel mortgage on the equipment. During 1957, PKL Rate, Inc. was liquidated and its assets were distributed.

During 1958, Senior's Restaurant, Inc. stopped making payments on the purchase money notes and abandoned the restaurant equipment. \$118,000 was still outstanding on the notes. The tampayer purchased the equipment for \$1,000 on the foreclosure of the chattel mortgage which he held on the equipment. On June 18, 1958, the tampayer again sold the equipment for \$140,000. The expenses of the 1958 purchases and sales of the equipment amounted to \$15,679.65.

On the Federal audit of the taxpayor's 1957 tax return, deductions claimed for medical expenses in the amounteef \$1,010.96, excludable sick pay in the amount of \$1,300 and a capital loss of \$1,000 were disallowed as unsubstantiated and capital gains of \$30,067.65 were added to the taxpayor's income since he did not establish installment basis treatment for the gains.

The taxpayer did not submit any evidence to substantiate the deductions claimed for excludable sick pay, contributions and medical expenses nor that he had a capital loss carryover which could be utilized to reduce the amount of his capital gains taxable during the year. He satisfactory evidence was submitted to show the taxpayer's cost in acquiring his interest in PXL Bets, Inc. Although the restourant equipment of Roth Grill, Inc. was transferred by the taxpayer to PXL Bets, Inc., the taxpayer failed to show that PXL Bets, Inc. did not pay or obligate itself to pay the taxpayer the value of the equipment. He evidence was submitted to show the value of the assets distributed to the taxpayer on the liquidation of PXL Bets, Inc. during 1997. Accordingly, it is my opinion that the additional assessment of normal income tax and the net capital gains tax was properly imposed.

Section 377, subd. 3 of the Tax Lev provides that if the understatement of tax is false or fraudulent with intent to evade the tax, the tax on the additional income discovered to be taxable shall be doubled and an additional one per centum shall be added to the amount so due for each month or fraction of a month. The burden of proof to establish fraud is upon the Tax Commission. The evidence at the hearing did not establish fraud since the taxpayer reported the transactions which resulted in not capital gains tax. Fraud penalties were not imposed by the Internal Revenue Service. The

understatement appears to be due to negligence. The Tax Law provides that if the understatement is due to negligence without intent to defraud, there shall be added to the amount of the deficiency five per centum thereof, and in addition, interest at the rate of one per centum per menth. As a result, the penalty and interest imposed should be medified by reducing it from \$6,291.58 to \$1,925.54. (See Yeast, 6 TCM 1215, Dec. 16, 135(M).)

For the reasons stated above, I recemmend that the determination of the State Tex Commission, medifying the assessment and as medified, denying the texpaper's application, be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

/s/	FRANCIS V. DOW
	Meering Officer

FVD: 10 Enc. May 21, 1968

5-23-68

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COLORESSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

**U** 

LOUIS LIPTAN

FOR REVERSOR OR REPURD OF PERSONAL THOOME SAMES WINDER ARTIQUE 16 OF THE :
TAX LAW FOR THE TEAR 1037

The tempeyer herein having filed an application for revision or refund of personal income terms under Article 14 of the fact Law for the year 1997, and hearings having been hald in commetion therewith at the offices of the State Tax Commission at 80 Control Street, New York, New York on June 21, 1967 and at the State Gaupus, Albany, New York on August 1, 1967 before Francis V, Due, Hearing Officer of the Department of Tounties and Finance, at which bearings the tempeyer appeared and testified, and the record having been duly commined and commisses.

The State Yest Countrains hereby finder

(1) That the tempayor filed a New York resident tem return for 1857 in which he reported not income of \$11,419,30 stating on his return that his wife had no separate income during that year; that the tempayor reported a capital gain on the distribution of the assets of 255 Nate, Inc. on its liquidation, in which corporation the tempayor was a standard that the tempayor alleged that the distribution was subject to installment calso treatment and stated that the "hilance of installment profit at the charakelder level was \$67,660,46" for 1997, the year in issue; that the tempayor further alleged that he oclinated \$50,000 in 1997 of which assemt \$5,875 or \$13,044 was the reportable profit for the year in issue; that the tempayor reported

this amount as a capital gain; that an editet claimed against this amount was an unauplained from of capital loss in the assume of \$25,540,29 allegally from the sale of the corporation in which the tempoyer had reported his installment profit; that the tempoyer further claimed a not capital loss earny over sustained in the year 1955 in the amount of \$16,000.

- (2) That on his return, the temperer also claimed as deductions, excludable sist pay in the amount of \$1,500, contributions in the amount of \$435 and medical appeares in the amount of \$2,664,52,
- (3) That an September 27, 1963, a notice of additional has for the year 1957 was issued (Accomment to, 25% 60097) assembly additional normal two and not capital gains has in the total answer of \$2,711,391 that the assessment was issued on the basic that the tempoper received assets valued in the assemble of \$75,790,46 on the Liquidation of 205. Ento, Enc., which was subject to capital gains tour in its antiroty, instead of the assemble of \$67,610,45 reported as the total profit on the installment sale in 1937 by the tempoper, or the assessment of \$13,044 reported by the tempoper as his profit for such years that the assessment further disallowed any capital less transactions or any not capital less carry over reported by the tempoper,
  - (4) That the assessment further disallound the deductions elected for emissions elect pay, contributions and motioni expenses as unsubstantiated.
  - (5) That the assessment imposed a 1005 panelty and interest on the additional ten due computed to between 15, 1965 pursuant to santism 377 of the Year Law in the assessment of \$6,392,50 resulting in a total assessment of \$9,005,47.

- test return, a capital loss deduction by the tempoyer in the amount of \$1,000 was disallowed since the tempoyer falled to substantiate may not capital losses sustained by him; that the Federal government disallowed installment treatment of the tempoyer's not capital joins on the ground that an installment basis was not cotablished by the tempoyer and added the assunt of \$30,007.40 to the tempoyer's temple install assure that, asserdingly, as assunt not assending takes the afternable manual of \$30,007.66 or \$60,135,36 was found by the Federallysvarament to be the tempoyer's not capital gains for the tempoyer's not.
- (7) That the Federal government disallowed as deductions emclustable sisk pay in the assent of \$1,700 and medical expenses in the assent of \$1,014.94.
- voted; that on January 16, 1983, the temperor was the purchaser of a forestown sale of a chattel nortgage on all modifiery, filebook, Suralture, equipment and all other from used in connection with the business of 80th Grill, Inc. which operated a rectament booked in the Serveth of Humbatum in the City of New York; that the temperor paid \$1,300 for the secret; that the access of 80th Grill, Inc. which the temperor purchased were transferred by him to 25% Note, 200.; that the temperor failed to establish that he was not paid or companied by 25% Note, Inc., for the access of 80th Grill, Inc., which were transferred to 25% Note, Inc., by the temperor; that no decommonst or other sufficient evidence was submitted to show the temperor\*(s) over of countries of interest in 25% Note, Inc., Inc.
- (9) That on Hurch 10, 1994, the board of Directors of FEL Entr. Inc. received to Liquidate the corresponding that on May 15,

1936, PM. Note, Inc., sold its business equipment to Senior's Restaurant, Inc. for \$250,000; that the purchase price was paid partly in each and partly in purchase namey notes secured by a chattel mortgage; that in 1937, PM. Note, Inc., was liquidated and the assets of PM. Note, Inc., which consisted of an assecs of \$30,000 in that and the purchase water notes secured by a chattel nortgage, were distributed to the share-holders of the corporation; that the value of the assets of PM. Note, Inc., after including the assets vanified from the sale of its business assets and after subtracting therefore the limbilities of the corporation was in the assets of \$75,700,40; that the temperar failed to establish that he was not the sole atochholder of the corporation, or that the assets were distributed to sevens other than himself.

- (16) That during 1990, subsequent to the year in introsender's Rectaurant, Inc. discontinued making payments on the purchase money notes which it gave for payment of the business equipment of PM. Rets, Inc.; that \$116,000 was outstanding and due and eving on the notes at the time payments were discontinued; that the temperar purchased the equipment and recold the same for \$140,000,
- (11) That the temporer did not subsite any evidence to substantiate the deductions elained for contrable sick pay, contributions and medical expenses, nor that he had a capital loss carry over which could be utilized to reduce the secure of his temple capital gains during the year 1957.
- (12) That the tempopur understated his terms for 1997 as a result of his negligence in his not unintaining adoption records to show the amount of his temphic income or the namer in which his income was realized and in arbitrarily reporting that

income encode during the year was not tempte and subject to installment onless treatment and in Surther reporting explicit leaves without any explanation as to how they were derived; that by virtue of the above grounds and upon the entire record, the tempeyer was negligent in computing the assents of his test liability for the year.

bared upon the Stragolog Sindings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Test Counteries hereby personnes.

- (A) That the amplied gains tex was properly assessed on the amount of \$75,790,46, since the tempeyor did not substantians that the cost basis of the stock was other than ours and that the value of the assets received by him on distribution on the liquidation of \$75,790,400 that the tempeyor has failed to substantiate the 1935 capital loss entry over which he deducted from his capital gains realized during \$957.
- (3) That the tempoyer did not substantiate the emissible sick pay, making emperous and contributions deducted by him on his 1997 return since he did not subsit any evidence to show that they were allowable for such year.
- (6) That the imposition of a 100% panelty computed on the additional tex due was improper, since the understatement of the text reported on the tempsyor's pattern was not false or frauddon's with intent to crede the text, but was due to the negligenes of the tempsyors that a 3% panelty computed on the amount of the tempsyor's additional text abould have been added to the amount of definiously tegether with interest at the rate of 1% per month under the provisions of section 377, subd., 3 of the Sex Loss.

(D) That the tempeyor's additional tes liability for the year 1997 is restand as follows:

> Additional records terr des Additional capital pates terr des Penalty and interpret computed to October 15, 1963 percented to capital 377 of the law Law

2,127,00

1-205-54

A.CT.AL

(4) That by virtue of (D) above, the assessment for additional terms (Assessment No. 200 00000) is borely modified by assessment \$4,250,04 thereoff that the assessment for 1657 in the modified amount of \$4,637,45 is servent and lambelly due and order together with interest and other lambel, charges.

Betwee Albany, New York this 28th day of

May

- 1008a

## STATE TAX COMESSES

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
/s/	A. BRUCE MANLEY
/s/	SAMUEL E. LEPLER