POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

- L 9 (11-68)

BUREAU OF LAW arciero, Mary

TO:

State Tax Commission

FROM:

Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer

SUBJECT:

Mary Arcieri, Individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of Eugenic Arcieri

Petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 and Unincorporated Dusiness Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965

Formal hearings were held in the above matter at the New York City Office on February 1, and 19, 1969.

The issue involved is whether each in the amount of \$46,500.00 found in the safe deposit vault of decedent, Bugonio Areieri, and prorated over a three year period constitutes additional personal and unincorporated business tax income for the years in issue.

Bagenio and Mary Arcieri filed a combined New York State resident return for 1963 (separate returns on one form) and joint resident State returns for 1964 and 1965. For 1963, Bugenio reported Federal adjusted gross income of \$3,221.45 and his wife, Mary, reported \$5,305.79. The Federal adjusted gross income of the taxpayers for 1964 and 1965 amounted to \$7,464.41 and \$7,563.97, respectively. On said returns, Eugenio Arcieri indicated business income from chemical manufacturing but filed no unincorporated business tax returns for the years in issue. Bugenio Arcieri died on February 23, 1966 and Mary Arcieri was appointed Administratrix of his estate.

A field audit was made with respect to the returns of the taxpayers for the years in issue. The decedent taxpayer, prior to his death was self-employed as a chemical manufacturer. His wife claimed that he was not very active during the years 1961 through 1965 because of his age, 78 years. Among the decedent's assets was \$46,500.00 cash found in a safe depocit box rented from First Mational City Bank, 349 East 149th Street, Broax, New York. Up to the year 1965 the decedent eccupied an office in downtown Manhattan. The decedent manufactured an antacid preparation and also a chemical preparation used to clean boilers. He maintained a checking account which was used both for business and for personal expenses. From an analysis of his checking account for the years 1963 through 1965, more specifically in 1964, the decedent deposited more in his checking

account than the actual receipts represented by him on Schodule "G" of his Federal return. He required an additional \$2,792.60 (for 1964) to pay the business expenses which he claimed on Federal Schodule "C".

It is claimed that the each in the pare deposit venit represented accumulations of savings from 1930 through 1950. Be information was furnished the tax examiner with respect to the income of the decedent taxpayer prior to 1962. On March 27, 1967, statements of audit changes and notices of deficiency were issued imposing additional personal and unincorporated business tames for 1963, 1964 and 1965 with interest in the amount of \$5,375.48.

At the time of his death, the estate of the decelerate tempayer amounted to approximately \$65,000.00 which consisted primarily of \$46,500.00 of each in the safe deposit box. There was also found a savings account of about \$9,200.00 which the decedent received in connection with an action resulting from the death of his first wife. This amount is included in the \$65,000.00 gross estate. It is to be noted that the statement of sudit changes prorated the \$46,500.00 (cash in each deposit box) ever a three year period, namely, \$15,500.00 during each of the years 1963, 1964 and 1965. It also included for 1964, additional income of \$2,752.00 mentioned above.

The instant case is to be distinguished from the case of Agnes Heliman as Executrix v. State Tax Commission, decided by the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court, Third Department, on May 28, 1968. In that case, the decident, at the time of his death, had assets valued at \$1,145,501.30. Part of these assets consisted of \$42,500.00 in each which had been deposited in the safe deposit box. In the cited case, the taxpayer maintained three bank accounts with balances in excess of \$35,000.00 each. In addition, the taxpayer had an annual income of about \$75,000.00 a year and very eacily could have accumulated in savings the annual found in the safe deposit box. In addition, a reasonable explanation was given to account for said monies in said safe deposit box.

In the instant case, however, the tampayer wife has failed to meet the burden of showing that the amount found in the each deposit box, represented income on which tax had already been paid. The taxpayer wife has failed to supply any information with respect to the income of the decedent taxpayer prior to igue. I am, therefore, of the opinion that the notice of deficienty should be sustained.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the decision of the Tax Commission in this matter be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

SOLOMON SIES

Hearing Officer

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION

OF.

MARY ARGIERI, Individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of Rugenio Arcieri

POR REDETERMINATION OF A DEFIGIRARY OR FOR REPURD OF PERSONAL INCOME TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 23 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE TRANS 1963, 1964 AND 1965

Hary Arcieri, individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of Eugenio Arcieri, having filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 and unincorporated business taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965 and hearings having been held in connection therewith at the effice of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on February 1, and February 19, 1968 at which hearing the taxpayer Mary Arcieri appeared on February 19, 1968 and the taxpayer having been represented by Ferdinand R. Goglio and the matter having been duly examined and considered.

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

(1) That Rugenio and Mary Arcieri filed a combined

New York State resident return for the year 1963 (separate return
on one form) and joint resident returns for the years 1964 and
1965; that for 1963 Rugenio Arcieri reported Federal adjusted
gross income of \$3,221.45 and his wife, Mary Arcieri, reported
\$5,305.79; that the aforementioned taxpayers reported Federal
adjusted gross income for 1964 and 1965 in the amounts of
\$7,484.41 and \$7,563.97, respectively; that the taxpayer Rugenio

Arcieri indicated on said returns business income from chemical manufacturing but filed no unincorporated business tax returns for the years in issue; that Eugenie Arcieri died on Pebruary 23, 1966 and Mary Arcieri was appointed Administratrix of his Estate.

- (2) That on March 27, 1967 statements of audit changes were issued against Mary Arcieri individually and as Administrateix of the Estate of Eugenio Arcieri for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965 as a recult of field sudit imposing additional personal and unincorporated business income taxes with interest for a total of \$5,341.37; that accordingly a notice of deficiency was issued therefor.
- (3) That the decedent taxpayer prior to his death was self-employed as a chemical manufacturer; that he had manufactured an antacid preparation and also a chemical preparation used to clean boilers; that the decedent maintained a checking account which was used for both business and personal expenses; that no information was furnished to the auditor with respect to the income of the decedent taxpayer prior to 1962; that at the time of his death, the estate of the decedent taxpayer amounted to approximately \$65,000.00 which consisted primarily of the \$46,500.00 of each in the safe deposit box plus a savings account in which \$9,200.00 was received by the decedent in connection with an action resulting from the death of his first wife in 1961 or 1962.
- (4) That from an analysis of the checking account of the decedent tempayor for the years 1963 through 1965 and more particularly in 1964 the decedent deposited more in his checking account them the actual receipts reported by him on Schedule "6" of his Federal income tax return for the year 1964; that he required an additional \$2,752.00 for 1964 to pay the business expenses which he claimed on his Federal Schedule "6" for said year; that the Statement of Audit changes with respect to 1964 included the aforementioned

\$2,752.00 as additional income; that the \$46,500.00 each in the safe deposit box was prorated in the amount of \$15,500.00 for each of the years 1963, 1964 and 1965 and texed as additional income for said years.

(5) That it is claimed that the cash in the safe deposit vault represented an accumulation of savings of the decedent taxpayer from 1930 through 1950; that no information was submitted with respect to the income of the decedent taxpayer for years prior to 1962, from which an inference could reasonably be drawn that the cash in the safe deposit box did, in fact, represent non-taxable income.

Based upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby IMCIONS:

- (A) That the taxpayer Mary Arcieri has failed to establish that the additional income in the safe deposit venit represented an accumulation of savings as claimed; that no information was submitted whatsoever to warrant a finding that the moneys in the safe deposit box actually represented savings from income previously reported and upon which a tax had been paid; that the moneys in the safe deposit vanit represented additional personal and unincorporated business tax of the decedent taxpayer subject to personal and unincorporated business tax in accordance with the previsions of Articles 22 and 23 of the Tax Law.
- (B) That accordingly, the Statement of Audit Changes and Notice of Deficiency for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965 are correct and do not include any tax or other charge which could not have been lawfully demanded; that the petition of the taxpayer Mary Arcieri individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of Eugenio Arcieri for redetermination of a deficiency of personal

<u>h</u>

and unincorporated business taxes for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965 is hereby denied.

DATED: Albany, New York this 23rd day of Oct. 1969.

BEATE TAX CHARLESTON

s/s_Norman Gallman

and the standard to the conduction of a large of the contraction. Then conduction and the

Color on the Colorage (4) Parts a 1813. The Steeling of Sectionary

s/s A. Bruce Manley

s/s <u>Milton Koerner</u>

kalikusti, mentego kulonda Puartur, istera silab tusa 19a galabat 1907 - Mosta 1908 - Salabat 1988 - Salabat 19a - Sal

en de servición de la compania de la gración de la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania de la La compania de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del la compania de la compania del la compania

on the medical military more more and analytic belonger made from the training of the Miller. Define a common the common particle and appropriate to the property of the common the common terms of

uniterior de Contiego de la composition de la contiena de la contraction de la contr

and the first of the The first of the first

justini kalifornio di aktao na fitoj tej tvori kilo e Viti o kilo e vito o k

en de la companya de la co