Jacques Dan Detternen. Lyron, Drack A-Z

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

041 -1

In the Matter of the Petition

of

FRANK IGNERI

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 22 Tax Law for the (Year(s)1961 & 1963

State of New York County of Albany

John D. Handerhan

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 17th day of September , 1969 , she served the within Notice of Decision (or Retermination) by (certified) mail upon

Frank Igneri proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Frank Igneri 45 Bay 19th Street Brooklyn, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last 

Sworn to before me this

17th day of September, 1969.

Stace & Pritchard

041752

In the Matter of the Petition

of

FRANK IGNERI

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s)1961 & 1963 :

State of New York County of Albany

John D. Handerhan

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 17th day of September , 1969 , she served the within Notice of Decision (Oxx Retermination) by (certified) mail upon

Frank Igneri

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

John Handshon

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Morris A. Levine, CPA

710 Broadway

New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

17th day of September , 1969

Frace & Prilchard

To Mr. Rook

To be submitted to the Commission, upon your approval.

August 18, 1969

LAN:jw

From Lawrence A. Newman

Greene Pak Determin. 19-2 Syneri, Frank

## DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

## **MEMORANDUM**

TO: FROM: SUBJECT:

NO 62 / 25 54Y

Mr. Edward Rook Lawrence Newman Default Orders

DATE August 18, 1969 OFFICE Hearing Room

- Melvin W. and Carmen L. Jaquier Art. 22 Year 1961
- (2)Rose Hurtis Year 1961 Art. 22
- (3) Nicola and Clementine Orlando Art. 23 Year 1961
- (4)Frank Igneri Art. 22 Years 1961 and 1963
- (5)Max Tomchin Art. 22 Years 1962 and 1963
- (6)Morris Tomchin Art. 22 Years 1962 and 1963

The following facts apply to the cases under consideration:

- (1) A notice to appear for a formal hearing was sent to the taxpayer and his representative at the last addresses known to the Income Tax Bureau.
- (2) Neither the taxpayer nor his representative appeared at the time of the hearing, and no one has contacted the hearing officer in any manner to explain the lack of an appearance.
- (3) The original determination and related papers were reviewed for the possibility of discovering patent error or illegality.
- (4) Most of the cases contain a history of noncompliance with Department requests for information, and there is suspicion in such cases that the taxpayer is at least partly motivated to delay collection efforts seemingly without merit.

- (5) A short-form order of default has been prepared which denies the taxpayer's petition by reason of his default and will serve to permit resumption of collection activities.
- (6) If the taxpayer or his representative requests a reopening of the case within a reasonable time, a hearing will be scheduled for the purpose of examining the merits of the petition fully. This is not expected to occur, except under very unusual circumstances.

I offer these six default orders for the approval of the Commission.

LAWRENCE NEWMAN

Hearing Officer

LAN: jw

STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DEFAULT ORDER

FRANK IGNERI

Concerning Personal Income Taxes: Pursuant to Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year(s) 1961 : and 1963

A formal hearing having been scheduled on June 6, 1969 at 9:30 A.M. at the offices of the State Tax Commission in the City of New York, New York upon the petition by the taxpayer, and the taxpayer having failed to appear after being duly notified thereof;

Now on motion of the attorney for the Department of Taxation and Finance,

THE STATE TAX COMMISSION hereby decides that the taxpayer has defaulted at said scheduled hearing, and that the petition is denied for his non-appearance.

Dated: Albany, New York, this 11th day of September 1969 .

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER