STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION . Housoker

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Sol & Gertrude Guisokoff

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Income & UBT

Taxes under Article(s) 22 & 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1964

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

guisole flue 1970

State of New York County of Albany

Janet Wright

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 30th day of November , 19 70, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Philip S.

Beispeil (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

Philip S. Beispeil 342 Madison Avenue New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

30thday of November , 1970

wrapper addressed as follows:

2....

Jan & Wright

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

Sol & Gertrude Guisokoff

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Income & UBT
Taxes under Article(s) 22 & 23 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1964

State of New York County of Albany

Janet Wright , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 30th day of November, , 19 70, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Sol & Gertrude

Guisokoff (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Sol & Gertrude Guisokoff
175 East 74th Street
New York, New York 10021

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

30th day of November , 1970.

aweus A Newwoon

STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

SOL GUSIKOFF & GERTRUDE GUSIKOFF, his wife

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 and of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1964

Taxpayers have petitioned for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes of \$189.08 (based upon disallowances of contributions and miscellaneous deductions) and unincorporated business taxes of \$930.12 (based upon a deficiency notice including \$707.46 additional unincorporated business taxes under date of April 24, 1967, file #12275663 plus \$222.66 unincorporated business taxes reported and paid by taxpayers but later claimed under an amended return to be erroneously paid) A formal hearing was held before Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer, in the offices of the State Tax Commission in the City of New York on December 27, 1967, and continued on May 22, 1968. The petitioners were represented by Phillip S. Beispiel, CPA.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The issues in this case are whether the activities of the taxpayer constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business subject to unincorporated business taxes and whether certain disallowances of contributions and other miscellaneous deductions should be sustained.
- 2. Taxpayer performs as a musician, inside contractor and outside contractor. As a contractor his duties consist of selecting musicians to perform with various orchestras. These duties as a contractor are completely separate and distinct from those as a musician.

- 3. When acting in the capacity of a musician the petitioner, under the same contract, also performs as an inside contractor. Petitioner's salary for his duties as a musician, is increased because of his extra duties as an inside contractor. Earnings in this capacity for the year were reported as \$16,748.42. These earnings were not reported as unincorporated business income.
- 4. When acting in the capacity of an outside contractor the petitioner did not perform as a musician. Neither was he under a contract. Earnings in this capacity were reported originally as unincorporated business income in the amount of \$19,197.63 for the year 1964. The petitioner filed an amended return claiming this amount should not have been reported as unincorporated business income and increasing certain deductions.
- 5. The petitioner does not get reimbursed for his expenses. There is no direction and control as to the manner and means of accomplishing desired results, as an outside contractor.
- 6. An audit conducted by the Income Tax Bureau resulted in a disallowance of certain deductions claimed by the petitioner on his 1964 returns. It also included the \$16,748.42 referred to in paragraph 3 above as unincorporated business income. Adjustments to the petitioner's returns were made as follows:

			Adjustments	
	Claimed	Substantiated Allowed		
Accident & Health Insurance	\$ 376.17	\$ 0	\$ 376.17	\$ -
Contributions - IT-208 IT-202	431.00 400.00	149.00 149.00	282.00	251 <b>.</b> 00
Other Deductions Promotion Expense Auto Expense	1,027.22 1,233.88	710.19 863.72	<b>3</b> 17.03 370.16	
Income Subject to U.B.T.	19,197.63	35,946.05		16,748.42
NYS Addition (Modification)	0	545•47	545.47	<del>-</del> ,
Increase in NY Taxable Income			\$1,890.83	\$17,686.61

These adjustments resulted in the deficiency notice of April 24, 1967, as outlined above.

7. Of the \$376.17 claimed as a deduction for Accident and Health Insurance, petitioner was able to substantiate \$150.13 as the medical premium. Substantiation for other deductions was not provided by the taxpayer.

## DECISION

- A. The amended return for 1964 is not substantiated. Taxpayer's income was substantially correct as reported on his original
  return.
- B. The combined activities of the taxpayer as musician and contractor do not constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business under Article 23 of the Tax Law.
  - C. Portions of the deficiency are incorrect as follows:
    - (1) Unincorporated Business Tax
- a. The portion of the deficiency holding the additional income of \$16,748.42 subject to unincorporated business tax of \$669.93 is incorrect.
- b. Expenses of \$938.19 deducted by the taxpayer from unincorporated business income reported on the 1964 return were not substantiated. This amount is subject to unincorporated business tax in the amount of \$37.53.
- c. The deficiency as it applies to unincorporated business tax is therefore reduced from \$707.46 to \$37.53.
  - (2) Personal Income Tax
- a. The medical portion of taxpayer's deduction for Accident and Health Insurance is substantiated at \$150.13.
- b. All other expenses were not substantiated and are not allowed as deductions.
- c. Taxpayer's assessment of \$189.08 for additional personal income tax therefore is reduced by \$15.01 (10% of \$150.13) to \$174.07.

D. The taxpayer has sustained his burden of proof with respect to certain items of the deficiency. The petition is therefore approved to the extent that it is reduced from \$896.54 to \$211.60 plus such interest as may be lawfully due.

DATED: Albany, New York

November 27, 1970

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMITTERIOR

Reissane f Moone : 1970 Pax December 15, 1970 Mr. Sol Gusikorr 142 West End Avenue New York, New York 10023 Dear Mr. Gusikoff: In regard to your letter dated December 11, 1970, we are enclosing a copy of the decision for your Our office on December 1, 1970, mailed a copy of the decision to your old address, 175 East 74th Street, New York, New York, 10021. This was the last address that we had for you. Thank you for your concern in this matter. Very truly yours, LAWRENCE A. NEWMAN Hearing Officer

142 West End Ave. New York, N.Y. 10023 December 11.1970

Dept. of Taxation & Finance State Campus Room 214 A Albany, N.Y. 12226

Attention: Mr. Lawrence A. Newman, Hearing Officer

Dear Sir:

I am referring to a Matter of the Petition of Sol Gusikoff & Gertrude Gusikoff, his wife for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 and of Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1964.

I have been informed that you send a copy of the report dated November 30, 1970 to my representative, Philip S. Beispiel, Certified Public Accountant, former address 342 Madison Avenue, New York City.

I would appreciate it very much if you would please send me a copy of the decision which was sent to my representative. I also wish to inform you that my address is 142 West End Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10023.

Please be good enough to give this your attention.

Very truly yours,

OI. CHSTROFF

SG:pfs

