STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION Edgelly, George H. Tewonal Dincome Antrile 22

In the Matter of the Petition

of

George H. Edgell, Jr.

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income: Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s)1960 thru 1966)

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 14th day of January , 1971, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon George H. Edgell, Jr. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Renato Beghe, Esq.
Carter, Ledyard & Milburn, Esqs.
2 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14th day of January /, 1971.

Startha Funaro

In the Matter of the Petition

of

George H. Edgell, Jr.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income:
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1960 thru 1966

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 14th day of January , 19 71, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon George H. Edgell, Jr. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. George H. Edgell, Jr. c/o Carter, Ledyard & Milburn, Esqs. 2 Wall Street 10005 New York, New York and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14th day of January 1971.

Gartha Funars

In the Matter of the Petition

of

GEORGE H. EDGELL, Jr.

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1960 thru 1966.

George H. Edgell, Jr., filed a petition for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1960 thru 1966. A formal hearing was held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, in the City of New York, on September 25, 1968. The taxpayer was represented by Messrs. Carter, Ledyard & Milburn, Attorneys at Law, (Renato Behge, Esq. of Counsel).

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On April 12, 1967, taxpayer filed New York State Income Tax
Resident Returns for the years 1960 thru 1966. For each year the
taxpayer also claimed resident credits for taxes paid to the State
of New Hampshire on dividends and interest income received as follows:

<u>Year</u>	Credit Claimed
1960	\$2,283.77
1961	\$2,821.34
1962	\$2,799.99
1963	\$3,237.89
1964	\$3,637.36
1965	\$4,126.25
1966	\$4,511.87

Taxpayer subsequently withdrew his claim for credit for 1960.

2. On October 25, 1967, the Income Tax Bureau issued deficiency notice numbered 69600350 as follows:

<u>Year</u>	e.	•	Amount
1960	•	j _{ia}	\$3,895.05
1961			\$4,657.60
1962			\$3,958.07
1963			\$4,199.22
1964			\$4,523.07
1965			\$4,753.76
1966			\$4,780.95

The deficiencies listed above were based upon a disallowance of the claimed credit and include statutory penalties and interest charges due.

- 3. On January 8, 1968, taxpayer filed petition for redetermination or refund for the years 1960 thru 1966.
- 4. During the years in question, taxpayer was a resident of
 New York because he spent more than 183 days in this state and maintained a 4 1/2 room apartment in New York City. His domicile, however,
 was in the State of New Hampshire.
- 5. During this period, taxpayer derived all of his income from intangibles, primarily as beneficiary of trust administered by Mercantile Safe-Deposit and Trust Company of Baltimore, Maryland, as trustee under trust created by others for his benefit, and by the United States Trust Company of New York as trustee of a revocable trust which the taxpayer created for his own benefit.
- 6. Taxpayer was subject to and paid income taxes pursuant to New Hampshire law on the income derived from the intangibles referred to above. Taxpayer claims that pursuant to Section 363(2) of the Tax Law, he should be allowed a credit against New York State tax liability for taxes paid to New Hampshire based upon income from these intangibles.
- 7. Section 620 of the Tax Law provides in part, that: "A resident shall be allowed a credit against the tax otherwise due under this article for any income tax imposed for the taxable year by another state of the United States or by the District of Columbia, upon income derived therefrom and subject to tax under this article."

The term "income . . . derived therefrom" restricts the resident credit to the tax on income derived from sources within the other state. The term "income . . . derived therefrom" must be construed so as to accord with the <u>definition</u> of the term "derived from or connected with New York sources" set out in Section 632 of the Tax Law in relation to the adjusted gross income of a nonresident individual. The resident credit is allowable in relation to compensation for personal services performed in the other state, income from a business, trade or profession carried on in the other state, and income from the ownership of real or tangible personal property in the other state. On the other hand, the resident credit does not apply in respect to the tax upon income from intangibles, except where such income is from property employed in a business or profession carried on in the other state. Such is not the case here.

DECISION

- A. The tax credit claimed is disallowed.
- B. The petition is denied and the deficiency is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

January 13, 1971,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMICCIONED

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER