In the Matter of the Petition

of

HERMAN SHEER and VERA SHEER

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

MARTHA FUNARO

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 14th day of February , 1973, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon HERMAN and

VERA SHEER (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Herman and Vera Sheer 8-21 Belleaire Avenue Fairlawn, New Jersey 07412

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14thday of February , 1973.

mutha Tunaso



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9. ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518 457-2655.6.7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

STATE TAX COMMISSION

DATED: Albany, New York

February 14, 1973

Herman & Vera Sheer 8-21 Belleaire Avenue Feirlawn, New Jersey

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Sheer:

Please take notice of the DECISION the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 690 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply,

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HERMAN SHEER and VERA SHEER

DECISION

for a Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1967.

Petitioners, Herman Sheer and Vera Sheer, have filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1967. (File No. 73171874). A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on June 19, 1972, at 2:15 P.M. Petitioners appeared pro se. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq. (Albert J. Rossi, Esq., of Counsel).

ISSUE

Were 82 days spent at home in New Jersey due to illness by petitioner, Herman Sheer, during the year 1967 properly included in total days worked in said year for purposes of allocation of income?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners, Herman Sheer and Vera Sheer, filed a New York State income tax nonresident return for the year 1967. On Schedule A-1 of said return they claimed that petitioner, Herman Sheer's days worked in said year totaled 240 and that his days worked in New York State totaled 78.

- 2. On September 29, 1969, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Herman Sheer and Vera Sheer, wherein it disallowed as working days during the year 1967, 82 of the 240 days that had been claimed by petitioners in their income tax return. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$415.49.
- 3. Petitioners, Herman Sheer and Vera Sheer, were residents of the State of New Jersey during the year 1967.
- 4. Petitioner, Herman Sheer, became totally disabled on September 5, 1967. He did not return to work after that date.
- 5. On March 1, 1965, the Grand Union Company established a plan of long term disability benefits for their employees. The plan was in effect during the year 1967. Petitioner, Herman Sheer, was entitled to disability benefits under the plan. The plan provided in part that if an employee had more than five but less than ten years service with the company at the time that he became disabled he would be entitled to three months full pay and three months half pay from the date of disability. He had been employed by the company for nine years in 1967. He therefore received full pay for three months from September 5, 1967 to December 4, 1967, and half pay from December 5, 1967 to March 4, 1968. These benefits were not funded by insurance. He received other insurance benefits under the plan subsequent to March 5, 1968.
- 6. There were 240 working days in the year 1967. Petitioner, Herman Sheer, worked 78 days in New York during said year. He worked 80 days outside of New York State during said year. He was

totally disabled for 82 working days during said year. He spent said 82 days at his home in New Jersey.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the 82 days during the year 1967 spent at home by petitioner, Herman Sheer, a nonresident, because of illness were nonworking days for purposes of allocation in accordance with the meaning and intent of 20 NYCRR 131.16. Therefore, the proper ratio for purposes of allocation is determined by dividing the 78 days he worked in New York State during the year 1967 by the total of 158 days he worked during said year.
- B. That the petition of Herman Sheer and Vera Sheer is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued September 29, 1969 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York February 14, 1973 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMICCIONED