# **POOR QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

CHARLES H. STOLL and MERLE L. STOLL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(x) 16 of the Tax Law for the (Year(sx) 1953.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Joyce Van Patten

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 1973, she served the within age, and that on the 26th day of December Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Charles H. and Merle L. Stoll (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. & Mrs. Charles H. Stoll

Caledonia Road

Dix Hills

Huntington, New York and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

December . 1973 26th day/of

In the Matter of the Petition

of

CHARLES H. STOLL and MERLE L.: STOLL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or Personal Income a Refund of Taxes under Article(x) 16 Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1953.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Joyce Van Patten

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 1973, she served the within age, and that on the 26th day of December Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Philip Auerbach, C.P.A. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Philip Auerbach, C.P.A. 55 West Old Country Road

Hicksville. New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

26th day of December / 1973



STATE TAX COMMISSION

Mario A. Procaccino

## STATE OF NEW YORK

# DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

**BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A** STATE CAMPUS **ALBANY, N. Y. 12226** 

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6.7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

Dated:

Albany, New York

December 26, 1973

Mr. & Mrs. Charles H. Stoll Caledonia Road Huntington, New York

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Stoll:

Please take notice of the DETERMINATION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section (s) 375 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 90 days from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Ver

Enc.

Petitioner's Representative cc:

Law Bureau

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

CHARLES H. STOLL and MERLE L.

STOLL

**DETERMINATION** 

for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Year 1953.

Applicants, Charles H. Stoll and Merle L. Stoll, have filed an application for revision or refund of personal income taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the year 1953. (File No. 368995). A formal hearing was held before Martin Schapiro, Esq., Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on June 25, 1964, at 3:45 P.M. Applicants appeared by Philip Auerbach, C.P.A.

#### **ISSUE**

Was the Notice of Additional Assessment for the year 1953 issued against applicants, Charles H. Stoll and Merle L. Stoll, barred by the statute of limitations?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicants, Charles H. Stoll and Merle L. Stoll, filed a New York State income tax resident return for the year 1953. The return was filed during the month of April 1954. They reported thereon a loss of joint venture income from Wolf Hill Farm of \$11,423.50 and capital gains in the amount of \$67,454.75 from the sale of certain properties, including the receipt of liquidating dividends in the sum of \$10,000.00.
- 2. On December 16, 1955, the Internal Revenue Service pursuant to its audit issued a notice of change in net income against applicants, Charles H. Stoll and Merle L. Stoll, increasing the partnership

income reported in the amount of \$3,123.20, disallowing certain taxes and deducted expenses and disallowing the \$10,000.00 liquidating dividends as capital gains and declaring same to be ordinary income. It allowed the remaining \$57,454.75 as capital gains.

- 3. On February 27, 1956, applicants, Charles H. Stoll and Merle L. Stoll, filed with the Income Tax Bureau a Notice of Change in Net Income by United States Treasury Department Pursuant to Section 367 of the New York State Tax Law in which they reported the changes in income referred to in the prior paragraph.
- 4. On December 23, 1957, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Additional Assessment against applicants, Charles H. Stoll and Merle L. Stoll, including as additional income the changes made by the Federal audit and further disallowing as capital gains the \$57,454.75 allowed by the Federal audit, declaring the same to be ordinary income.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the Notice of Additional Assessment issued against applicants, Charles H. Stoll and Merle L. Stoll, for the year 1953 was issued more than three years from the date of the filing of the return and more than one year from the date of the filing of the Notice of Change in Net Income by United States Treasury

  Department Pursuant to Section 367 of the New York State Tax Law and therefore it is barred by the statute of limitations unless there was omitted from gross income or capital gain, as stated in the return, an amount which should have been included therein and which is in excess of 25% of the amount of gross income or capital gain as so stated.
- B. That the disclosure of capital gains by applicants,
  Charles H. Stoll and Merle L. Stoll, in the aforesaid return and
  notice constituted a disclosure of gross income in accordance with

the meaning and intent of section 373 of the Tax Law and therefore the statute of limitations was not extended by virtue of the fact that a portion of their gross income may have been erroneously reported as being capital gains income.

C. That the application of Charles H. Stoll and Merle L. Stoll is granted and the Notice of Additional Assessment issued December 23, 1957, is cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York December 26, 1973 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER