POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HUNTER YAGER and GERTRUDE YAGER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1966

State of New York County of Albany

MARTHA FUNARO , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 17th day of January , 1973 , she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon HUNTER AND GERTRUDE YAGER (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Hunter and Gertrude Yager 19 Sylvan Road

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

17th day of January , 1973

Smutha Dunaco

Darien, Connecticut

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HUNTER YAGER and GERTRUDE YAGER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1966

State of New York County of Albany

MARTHA FUNARO , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 17th day of January, 1973, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon HIRSCH KAPLAN

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Hirsch Kaplan 521 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10017

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

17thday of January , 1973

Grantha Funass



STATE TAX COMMISSION

A. BRUCE MANLEY

MILTON KOERNER

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT

DATED:

Albany, New York

January 17, 1973

Hunter and Gertrude Yager 19 Sylvan Road Darien, Connecticut

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Yager:

Please take notice of the

DECISION

οf

the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 690 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very Truly yours

Paul B. Cobern

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Esq., of Counsel).

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HUNTER YAGER and GERTRUDE YAGER

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1966.

Petitioners, Hunter Yager and Gertrude Yager, have filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1966. (File No. 69178927). A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on July 13, 1972, at 2:35 P.M. Petitioners appeared by Hirsch Kaplan, C.P.A. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq. (Albert J. Rossi,

DECISION

ISSUE

Was all the income received by petitioner, Hunter Yager, from Grey Advertising, Inc. during the year 1966 allocable to New York State?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Hunter Yager and Gertrude Yager, filed a New York State nonresident income tax return for the year 1966. They alleged in said return that petitioner, Hunter Yager, worked 89 days outside of New York State during said year and accordingly allocated his salary income received from Grey Advertising, Inc.
- 2. On April 11, 1969, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Hunter Yager and Gertrude Yager, disallowing petitioner, Hunter Yager's allocation of salary income received during the year 1966 and allocating all of said salary income

to New York State. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$879.61.

- 3. Petitioners, Hunter Yager and Gertrude Yager, were residents of the State of Connecticut during the year 1966.
- 4. Petitioner, Hunter Yager, was employed by Grey Advertising, Inc. as an executive vice president during the year 1966. His primary responsibility was the servicing of the Proctor & Gamble Company account. His work required business trips to locations outside of New York State.
- 5. The offices of Grey Advertising, Inc. were located at 777 Third Avenue in New York City. During the year 1966 the building was air-conditioned only in summer and heated only in winter on weekdays from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. Petitioner, Hunter Yager's workload was such that he was unable to fully perform his duties during the normal working day and therefore had to, on occasion, work on weekends and holidays at his home in Connecticut.
- 6. Petitioner, Hunter Yager, failed to submit satisfactory evidence to prove the specific number of days he worked outside of New York State during the year 1966.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That since petitioner, Hunter Yager, failed to prove the number of days he worked for Grey Advertising, Inc. outside of New York State during the year 1966, he is not entitled to allocate his income for said year in accordance with the meaning and intent of NYCRR 131.16.

B. That the petition of Hunter Yager and Gertrude Yager is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued April 11, 1969, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

January 17, 1973

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

Whitten