In the Matter of the Petition

of

DONALD J. & JOYCE E. ALVERSON

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 14th day of January , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Donald J. and Joyce E. Alverson (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Donald J. Alverson

5818 Calle Del Paisano Phoenix, Arizona 85018

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

14th day of January 1, 1974.

marka Dunaso

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS **ALBANY, N. Y. 12226**

AREA CODE 518 457-2655.6.7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Mario A. Procaccino,

DATED: Albany, New York January 14, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Donald J. Alverson 5818 Calle Del Paisano Phoenix, Arizona 85018

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Alverson:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Myel Il Wright

Nigel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DONALD J. ALVERSON and JOYCE E. ALVERSON

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1967.

Donald J. Alverson and Joyce E. Alverson filed a petition under section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination of a deficiency issued August 31, 1970, in the amount of \$373.68 plus interest of \$53.30 for a total of \$426.98 for personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1967.

In lieu of a hearing, the petitioners submit their case to the Commission on the file of the Income Tax Bureau.

Said file has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is the cost basis of real estate in California which was sold by petitioners in 1967.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners moved from California to New York in 1966. During 1967 petitioners were residents of Tonawanda, New York, and filed New York resident returns for that year. Later they moved to Phoenix, Arizona, where they presently reside. On their return petitioners showed a loss of \$3,603.82 from the

sale of property.

- 2. In 1967 petitioners sold a frame house identified as Lot 576, Rancho Cordova #5, California. The sale price was \$18,000.00. Petitioner claimed closing costs of \$1,764.80 and a cost basis of \$20,540.00 less depreciation of \$700.98 for an adjusted cost basis of \$19,839.02. The cost basis consisted of a \$17,000.00 purchase price in 1961 and improvements including air conditioning of \$3,540.00.
- 3. Petitioners had rented the frame house to others for the two preceding years and had depreciated it. They have explained the cost basis adequately.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The deficiency is found to be incorrect and is cancelled in full.

DATED: Albany, New York
January 14, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONED