POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

THEODORE D. HELPRIN & DOROTHY HELPRIN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1966, 1967 &: 1969

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 25thday of June , 1974, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Theodore D. &
Dorothy Helprin (representative of) the petitioner in the within
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Theodore D. Helprin
132 Doolittle Road
Stamford, Connecticut 06902

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

25th day of

, 19/4.

Franka Durano

In the Matter of the Petition

of

THEODORE D. HELPRIN & DOROTHY HELPRIN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income: Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1966, 1967 &: 1969

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 2nd day of July , 19 74 she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon E. Richard Landis,
C.P.A. (representative of) the petitioner in the within
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
wrapper addressed as follows: E. Richard Landis, C.P.A.
575 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

2nd day of

July , 1974

Thatha Dunaro

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

THEODORE D. HELPRIN & DOROTHY HELPRIN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income: Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1966, 1967 &:

1969

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

age, and that on the 25thday of June, 19 74, she served the within

Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon E. Richard Landis,

C.P.A. (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: E. Richard Landis, C.P.A.

501 Madison Avenue

New York, New York 10022

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this,

25th day of

ne / 19

Jorntha Duraro



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION
Mario A. Procaccino
NOMINIA EXEMPLE PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Detect: Albany, New York

June 25, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Theodore D. Helprin 132 Doolittle Road Stamford, Connecticut 06902

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Helprin:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 690 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 Months after

the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very Aruly yours,

Paul B. Coburn

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions

of

THEODORE D. HELPRIN and DOROTHY HELPRIN

DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1966, 1967 and 1969.

Petitioners, Theodore D. Helprin and Dorothy Helprin, have filed petitions for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1966, 1967 and 1969. (File No. 73178042 and 9-3320619.)

A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 2 World Trade Center, New York, New York, on March 22, 1974, at 10:30 A.M. Petitioner Theodore D. Helprin appeared pro se and for his wife, petitioner, Dorothy Helprin. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq., (Francis X. Boylan, of counsel).

ISSUE

What portion of the salary income received by petitioner, Theodore D. Helprin, from Theodore D. Helprin, Inc. during the years 1966, 1967 and 1969 was allocable to New York State?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners, Theodore D. Helprin and Dorothy Helprin, filed New York State income tax nonresident returns for the years 1966, 1967 and 1969. They allocated the salary income received

by petitioner, Theodore D. Helprin, from his employer, Theodore D. Helprin, Inc., based upon the number of alleged days he worked within and without New York State during said years. They claimed on said returns that he worked 265 days during the year 1966 of which 101 days were worked outside of New York State, 238 days during the year 1967 of which 71 days were worked outside of New York State and 252 days during the year 1969 of which 82 days were worked outside of New York State.

- 2. On September 28, 1970, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Theodore D. Helprin and Dorothy Helprin, imposing additional personal income tax for the year 1966 in the sum of \$1,406.75 and for the year 1967 in the sum of \$458.54 upon the salary received by petitioner, Theodore D. Helprin from Theodore D. Helprin, Inc. It disallowed an allocation of all of the days worked outside of New York State during the year 1966 for lack of proof. It further disallowed an allocation of days worked at home during the year 1967. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$2,224.13.
- 3. On January 29, 1973, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Theodore D. Helprin and Dorothy Helprin, imposing additional personal income tax for the year 1969 in the sum of \$710.72 upon the salary income received by petitioner, Theodore D. Helprin, from Theodore D. Helprin, Inc. It disallowed an allocation of days worked at home during the year 1969. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$829.62.

- 4. Petitioners, Theodore D. Helprin and Dorothy Helprin, were residents of the State of Connecticut during the years 1966, 1967 and 1969. They resided in a home located at 132 Doolittle Road, Stamford, Connecticut.
- 5. Petitioner, Theodore D. Helprin, was employed by
 Theodore D. Helprin, Inc., as a marine surveyor during the years
 1966, 1967 and 1969. A marine surveyor adjusts claims for damages
 to cargo during shipping. He received a salary of \$45,500.00 in
 1966, \$27,500.00 in 1967 and \$45,000.00 in 1969. The offices
 of the corporation were located at 116 John Street in New York
 City. The offices were open Monday through Friday during normal
 business hours.
- 6. The work performed at home by petitioner, Theodore D. Helprin, during the years 1966, 1967 and 1969 consisted of preparing reports on the findings of his own surveys and editing reports of surveyors who worked under his supervision. It was more convenient for him to perform this work at home since he did not have enough time during the normal business day because of the volume of work and traveling required and because he did not have to commute to New York.
- 7. During the year 1966 petitioner, Theodore D. Helprin, worked a total of 233 days of which 22 days were worked outside of New York State and not at his home. During the year 1967 he worked a total of 238 days of which 18 days were worked outside of New York State and not at home. During the year 1969 he worked a total of 223 days of which 44 days were worked outside of New York State and not at home.

- A. That the days worked at home by petitioner, Theodore D. Helprin, during the years 1966, 1967 and 1969 were worked there by reason of his necessity and convenience and not for the necessity of his employer and, therefore, said days cannot be considered days worked outside of New York State in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 632(c) of the Tax Law and 20 NYCRR 131.16.

 Therefore, for purposes of allocation of salary income he worked 233 days during the year 1966 of which 211 days are considered worked in New York State, 238 days during the year 1967 of which 220 days are considered worked in New York State and 223 days during the year 1969 of which 179 days are considered worked in New York State.
- B. That the petitions of Theodore D. Helprin and Dorothy Helprin are granted to the extent of reducing New York taxable income for the year 1966 from \$35,109.00 to \$31,624.00 and of reducing additional personal income tax due for the year 1966 from \$1,406.75 to \$1,058.25 together with such interest as may be lawfully due; that the Income Tax Bureau is hereby directed to accordingly modify the Notice of Deficiency issued September 28, 1970; and, that except as so granted, the petitions are in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York June 25, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

Department of Taxation and Finance STATE OF NEW YORK AD 32 (6-73) 250M

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 STATE CAMPUS

E. Richard Landis, C.P.A. 501 Madison Avenue



CERTIFIED

253999

New York, New York

MAIL