POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

The ESTATE OF GEORGE F. KAUFMAN KINGSTON TRUST COMPANY, EXECUTOR, and ELIZABETH D. KAUFMAN For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 16 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1954,1955,1956: 1957.1958.1959 and 1960.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

MARTHA FUNARO

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

, 1974, she served the within age, and that on the 17th day of July

Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon The ESTATE OF GEORGE F.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within WILLIAM C. KAUFMAN

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. William C. Kaufman 201 Washington Avenue Saugerties, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

Nth day of

Man Starthe Dunaso

In the Matter of the Petition

of

The ESTATE OF GEORGE F. KAUFMAN KINGSTON TRUST COMPANY, EXECUTOR, and ELIZABETH D. KAUFMAN For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 16 Tax Law for the Year(s) 1954, 1955, 1956; 1957,1958,1959 and 1960.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

MARTHA FUNARO

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 19 74, she served the within Julv

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon EDWARD MONDORE, ESQ.

Edward Mondore, Esq.

wrapper addressed as follows:

age, and that on the 17th day of

Steiner and Mondore 90 State Street Albany, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

7th day of

Jantha Dunaso aufatte

AD-1.30 (1/74)

In the Matter of the Petition

of

The ESTATE OF GEORGE F. KAUFMAN

KINGSTON TRUST COMPANY, EXECUTOR, and
ELIZABETH D. KAUFMAN

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or
a Refund of Personal Income

Taxes under Article(s) 16 of the
Tax Law for the Year(s) 1954,1955,1956;

1957,1958,1959 and 1960.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

MARTHA FUNARO

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 17th day of July , 1974, she served the within

Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon EUGENE J. STEINER, ESQ.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

Eugene J. Steiner, Esq.

wrapper addressed as follows:

Steiner and Mondore

90 State Street Albany, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

17th day of

117/

. 19 :

Jawho Sugaro



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

Albany, New York
July 17, 1974

Mr. William C. Kamiman 201 Washington Avenue Saugesties, New York

Dear Mr. Kaufman:

Please take notice of the of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 375 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 90 days from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Higel G. Wright

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

The ESTATE OF GEORGE F. KAUFMAN KINGSTON TRUST COMPANY, EXECUTOR, and ELIZABETH D. KAUFMAN

DETERMINATION

for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Years 1954, 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959 and 1960.

The Estate of George F. Kaufman, Kingston Trust Company,

Executor, and Elizabeth D. Kaufman filed application for refund

of personal income taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the

years 1954 through 1960. The aforementioned applications were

denied. A hearing was demanded and duly held on January 20, 1965,

at the offices of the State Tax Commission, State Campus, Albany,

New York, before Vincent P. Molineaux, Hearing Officer. The

applicants were represented by Edward Mondore, Esq. and Eugene J.

Steiner, Esq.

The record of this hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUES

The issues are whether the applications for refund for the years 1954 through 1960 were timely filed and in the alternative whether applicants may be granted a special refund pursuant to section 373(3) of the Tax Law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The application for refund for the years 1954 through 1958 were filed on April 10, 1962, and were not filed within the two-year period. The applications for 1959 and 1960 were filed within the two-year period but were claims for refund of taxes paid for the years 1954 through 1958.
- 2. Applicant, George F. Kaufman (deceased), had acted as trustee and guarantor of certain real property held by a corporation. When said corporation failed to pay debts to applicant, he caused said corporation to convey the aforementioned real property to him. Applicant, George F. Kaufman, and later his estate held this property, collected rent and paid expenses and income tax on said property. In 1959, the New York Supreme Court ordered reconveyance of said property and return of all rents and other payments, to be made by George F. Kaufman or his estate to said corporation. Applicants therefore asserted that excess income had been reported in 1954, 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958 and claimed refund is due them.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The applications for refund pursuant to section 374 of the Tax Law for the years 1954, 1955, 1956, 1957 and 1958 were not timely filed and are barred by the two-year statute of limitations.
- B. The applications for refund pursuant to section 374 of the Tax Law for the years 1959 and 1960 are invalid on substantive grounds as they are based on claims for refund of

taxes paid in the years 1954 through 1958.

C. The applicants' request that the State Tax Commission invoke section 373(3) of the Tax Law for a special refund is denied in that such facts and circumstances as exist in this case do not justify the application of that section in the light of its purpose and prior administrative interpretation.

DECISION

The applications are denied and the refunds are denied.

DATED: Albany, New York July 17, 1974 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER