POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JEROME KRAMER and ROSLYN KRAMER:

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income and Unincorporated Business Taxes under Article(s) 22 & 23 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1962, 1963, : 1964 and 1965.

State of New York County of Albany

martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 31st day of January , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Jerome Kramer and Roslyn Kramer (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. & Mrs. Jerome Kramer
2420 Cadillac Drive

East Meadow, L.I., New York 11554

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

31st day of January ,1974.

mortha Dunas

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JEROME KRAMER and ROSLYN KRAMER :

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income and Unincorporated Taxes under Article(s) 22 & 23 of the Business Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1962, 1963, : 1964 and 1965.

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 31st day of January , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Eugene V.

Weissman, Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Eugene V. Weissman, Esq.

477 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10022

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

-31st day of January , 1974.

Jantha Dunas

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JEROME KRAMER and ROSLYN KRAMER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income and Unincorporated Taxes under Article(s) 22 & 23 of the Business Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1968. :

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 31st day of January, 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Robert S.

Raum, Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Robert S. Raum, Esq.

210 East 52nd Street New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

31st day of January, 19 74

Suntha Dunaso



A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

STATE TAX COMMISSION
Mario A. Procaccino
KANKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS **ALBANY, N. Y. 12226**

AREA CODE 518

457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

DATED: Albany, New York January 30, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Jerome Kramer 2420 Cadillac Brive Bast Meadow, L.I., Mew York

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Kramer:

DECISION Please take notice of the of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

Petitioner's Representative cc: Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JEROME KRAMER and ROSLYN KRAMER

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income and Unincorporated Business Taxes under Articles 22 and 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1962, 1963, 1964 and 1965.

DECISION

Petitioners, Jerome Kramer and Roslyn Kramer, have filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income and unincorporated business taxes under Articles 22 and 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1962, 1963, 1964 and 1965. (File No. 46755622). A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on September 18, 1973, at 1:15 P.M. Petitioners appeared by Eugene V. Weissman, Esq., and Robert S. Raum, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq., (James A. Scott, Esq., of Counsel).

ISSUES

- I. Did petitioner, Jerome Kramer's activities as a giftware salesman during the years 1962, 1963, 1964 and 1965, constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business?
- II. Did petitioner, Jerome Kramer, have reasonable cause for failure to file New York State unincorporated business tax

returns for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Jerome Kramer and Roslyn Kramer, filed
 New York State income tax resident returns for the years 1962,
 1963, 1964 and 1965. Petitioner, Jerome Kramer, filed a New
 York State unincorporated business tax return for the year 1962.
- 2. On June 30, 1969, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Jerome Kramer and Roslyn Kramer, imposing unincorporated business tax in the total sum of \$1,588.00, upon the income received by petitioner, Jerome Kramer, as a salesman during the years 1962, 1963, 1964 and 1965. It also imposed a penalty in the total sum of \$397.00 for failure to file New York State unincorporated business tax returns for said years. It further imposed additional personal income tax in the sum of \$307.67 for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965 as a result of a Federal audit. Petitioners are not contesting the imposition of said additional personal income tax. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$2,801.04.
- 3. Petitioner, Jerome Kramer, was a giftware salesman during the years 1962, 1963, 1964 and 1965. He represented The Haeger Potteries, Inc. and its affiliates and Harris and Mallow Products, Inc., and its affiliates in the sale of giftware. The items sold for each principal were not competitive. He did not have any employees.
- 4. During the years 1962, 1963, 1964 and 1965, The Haeger Potteries, Inc. and its affiliates withheld Federal income and

social security taxes from the commissions paid to petitioner,

Jerome Kramer. They covered him for workmen's compensation.

During said years, Harris and Mallow Products, Inc. and its

affiliates did not withhold Federal or New York State income

taxes or social security tax from the commissions paid to him.

They did not cover him for workmen's compensation or disability

insurance. Neither of his principals reimbursed him for his

business expenses, except for certain trade show expenses.

He deducted expenses incurred in connection with his sales

activities on Schedule "C" of his Federal income tax returns.

The principals for whom he sold merchandise did not exercise

any substantial control and supervision over his sales activities

and techniques or over the time he devoted to sales except to

limit the territory in which he could sell.

5. Petitioner, Jerome Kramer, was advised by his accountant that he was not required to file New York State unincorporated business tax returns for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the income received by petitioner, Jerome Kramer, from the firms that he represented during the years 1962, 1963, 1964 and 1965 constituted income from his regular business of selling giftware and not compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703(b) of the Tax Law.
- B. That the aforesaid activities of petitioner, Jerome Kramer, constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business

and his income derived therefrom was subject to the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703 of the Tax Law.

- C. That petitioner, Jerome Kramer, filed a New York State unincorporated business tax return for the year 1962 and had reasonable cause for failing to file New York State unincorporated business tax returns for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965, and therefore, the penalty assessed pursuant to section 685(a) of the Tax Law is waived.
- D. That the petition of Jerome and Roslyn Kramer is granted to the extent of cancelling the penalty imposed pursuant to section 685(a) of the Tax Law for the years 1962, 1963, 1964 and 1965 in the sum of \$397.00; that the Income Tax Bureau is hereby directed to accordingly modify the Notice of Deficiency issued June 30, 1969; and, that except as so granted, the petition is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York January 31, 1974 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER