POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

STEVEN H. REMPELL

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1970

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 1st day of February , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Steven H. Rempell

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Steven H. Rempell

Okano Mansion 8-5

Higashi 4-Chome, Shibuya-Ku

Tokyo 150, Japan

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

t day of February , 1974

Josepha Durass



STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOF SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

STATE TAX COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Mario A. Procaccino,
MARINE PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY

MILTON KOERNER

Dated: Albany, New York

February 1, 1974

Mr. Steven H. Rempell Okano Mansion 8-5 Higashi 4-Chome, Shibuya-Ku Tokyo 150, Japan

Dear Mr. Rempell:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 Months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative
Law Bureau

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

STEVEN H. REMPELL

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

Petitioner, Steven H. Rempell, petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970.

The case was submitted by the taxpayer for decision on information contained in the file and the file referred to.

L. Robert Leisner, Hearing Officer. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq.

ISSUE

Did the taxpayer change his residence to Japan during the year 1970?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Steven H. Rempell, timely filed New York
State income tax return for the year 1970 and claimed a refund.

The refund claim was denied and a Notice of Determination of deficiency in personal income tax for the year 1970 was issued on February 26, 1973 against the taxpayer under File No. 1-89850669.

- 3. The taxpayer petitioned for redetermination of the deficiency.
- 4. The taxpayer moved permanently to Japan in 1970. He has his own company in Japan. The taxpayer has no intention of ever returning to New York State.
- 5. No evidence has been given to substantiate the deductions claimed by the taxpayer on his state and federal returns nor is there any indications that the deductions were New York deductions for items spent in New York State or otherwise allocable to New York State.

DETERMINATION

- A. The taxpayer became a resident of Japan in 1970. The deficiency is cancelled.
- B. On the evidence the taxpayer's claim for a refund is without proof or merit. The claim for refund is denied.

DATED: Albany, New York February 1, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER