In the Matter of the Petition

of

DANIEL R. & SYLVIA S. FELMUS For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article (sx) 22 Tax Law for the Year(sx 1971.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Janet Mack being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the llth day of March , 1975, she served the within Notice of Decision (CXX BATCHINE MAIN by (certified) mail upon Daniel R. and Sylvia S. Felmus (xaprexentativexxxf) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Daniel R. Felmus 5838 Collins Avenue Miami, Florida 33140

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

of petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last 

Sworn to before me this

11th day of March

. 1975 Janet mach



STATE TAX COMMISSION

# STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

. STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR, COBURN 457-2896

**BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A** STATE CAMPUS Saul Heckelman, Acting President ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

AREA CODE 518

DATED:

Albany, New York March 11, 1975

Mr. & Mrs. Daniel R. Felmus 5838 Collins Avenue Miami, Plorida 33140

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Felmus:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section (x) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

RING OFFICER

Enc.

CC:

Restriction to the complete and the contract of the contract o

Law Bureau

#### STATE OF NEW YORK

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DANIEL R. & SYLVIA S. FELMUS

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1971.

Petitioners, Daniel R. and Sylvia S. Felmus, petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1971.

The case was submitted for decision on information contained in the file and referred to L. Robert Leisner for review. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq.

## ISSUE

Was the proper allocation of itemized deductions made?
FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners Daniel R. and Sylvia S. Felmus timely filed New York State income tax returns for the year 1971.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in personal income taxes for the year 1971 was issued on March 25, 1974, against the taxpayers under File No. 1-89130000.
- 3. The taxpayers petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.

- 4. The audit statement made adjustment for life insurance premiums, itemized deductions exemptions and capital gains based on a change of residence to Florida and allocated five-twelfths of the itemized deductions to be deducted from New York income.
- 5. The taxpayers disputed the itemized deductions allowed against New York income.
- 6. The Federal itemized deductions were \$4,675.00. After eliminating state taxes the Federal itemized deductions adjusted by life insurance, etc. for the entire year were \$4,403.00.
- 7. However, the taxpayers moved to Florida at the end of May. The expenses reflected in the itemized deductions were for the entire year. The taxpayers failed to show that all expense occurred before they left for Florida.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The qualifying itemized deductions for the year must be allocated, five months to New York State, and the balance to the taxpayers' new residence; no proof is given that all the expenses occurred before the taxpayers moved to Florida.
- B. The taxpayers' petition is denied and the deficiency is sustained.

C. Pursuant to the Tax Law interest shall be added to the total amount due until paid.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

March 11, 1975

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER