In the Matter of the Petition

of

MARTIN N. & GERTRUDE WHYMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(x) 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 9th day of October , 1975, she served the within Notice of Decision (***Expression**Expression**) by (certified) mail upon MARTIN N. & GERTRUDE WHYMAN (***Expression**) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. and Mrs. Martin N. Whyman 4400 Hillcrest Drive Hollywood, Florida 33021

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative mx) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative example) petitioner.

Janet mach

Sworn to before me this

9th day of October

1975



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PAUL GREENBERG
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

JAMES H. TULLY, JR., PRESIDENT MILTON KOERNER

GEORGE OCCONNECTOR

BUILDING 9, ROOM STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

Mr. Wright

Mr. Coburn

Mr. Leisner

(518) 457-3336

DATED.

Albany, New York
October 9, 1975

Mr. and Mrs. Martin W. Whyman 4400 Hillcrest Drive Hollywood, Florida 33021

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Whyman:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(**) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc:

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MARTIN N. WHYMAN and GERTRUDE WHYMAN

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

:

Petitioners, Martin N. Whyman and Gertrude Whyman, 4400 Hillcrest Drive, Hollywood, Florida 33021, petitioned for a redetermination of a deficiency in personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970.

On December 4, 1974, the taxpayers waived a formal hearing before the State Tax Commission. The case was submitted for decision on information contained in the file.

ISSUE

Whether petitioners, Martin N. Whyman and Gertrude Whyman's adjustments to Federal adjusted gross income for the year 1970 have been substantiated?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Martin N. Whyman and Gertrude Whyman, timely filed a New York State nonresident income tax return for the year 1970.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in personal income tax for the year 1970 was issued on January 29, 1973 against the taxpayers under File No. 069113061.
- 3. The taxpayers petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.
- 4. On January 29, 1969, petitioner, Martin N. Whyman, entered into a contract for the purchase of an apartment at 4400 Hillcrest Drive, Hollywood, Florida. During the year 1969, petitioner, Gertrude Whyman, moved to Florida. Petitioner, Martin Whyman, changed his residence to Florida on November 1, 1970. Prior to that time, petitioners, Martin N. Whyman and Gertrude Whyman, lived and worked in New York State.
- 5. Petitioner, Martin N. Whyman, is an attorney. After moving to Florida, he continued to act as a consultant for his New York employer.

6. On April 5, 1971, petitioners, Martin N. Whyman and Gertrude Whyman, filed New York State income tax nonresident return with an adjustment in the sum of \$4,500.00 based on figures claimed on their Federal income tax return for moving expenses in the sum of \$3,500.00 and for capital loss carryover in the sum of \$1,000.00. Petitioner, Martin Whyman, initially refused to substantiate his adjustments. He finally submitted a retained copy of his 1970 Federal return and asserted that the return was conclusive of the issue under section 689(f) of the Tax Law.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That no evidence has been submitted to show that the Federal government ever questioned or verified these items as claimed on the Federal income tax return.
- B. That no documentary evidence in the form of cancelled checks, receipts nor any other substantial evidence has been furnished to verify petitioners' claim for adjustment for moving expenses.
- C. That no documentary evidence in the form of cancelled checks, receipts nor any other substantial evidence has been furnished to verify petitioners' claim for adjustment for capital loss carryover.

- D. That the petition is denied and the determination of the deficiency in income tax is sustained.
- E. Pursuant to the Tax Law, interest shall be added to the total amount due until paid.

DATED: Albany, New York October 9, 1975 STATE TAX COMMISSION

RESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER