In the Matter of the Petition

of

HARRISON GARRETT

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

Whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 8th day of December , 19 76, Whe served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Harrison Garrett

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Harrison Garrett

Brookville Post Office Baltimore, Maryland

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (TEXTRESENEADINE EXECUTE) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (TEXTRESENEARIZECTE EXECUTE EXEC

Sworn to before me this

8th day of December

ent back

, 1976.

Rruse Batchelon

In the Matter of the Petition

of

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

HARRISON GARRETT

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

Whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

age, and that on the 8th day of December , 1976, The served the within

Howard Colgan, Esq.

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Andrew Connick, Esq.

Robert Franklin, Esq.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Howard Colgan, Andrew Connick & Robert Franklin, Esqs.

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy

l Chase Manhattan Plaza

New York, New York 10005 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

8th day of December

. 1976.

Bruce Botchelor



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

December 8, 1976

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-3850

Mr. Harrison Garrett Brookville Post Office Baltimore, Maryland

Dear Mr. Garrett:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(*) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

yory truly yours

Faul B. Coburn

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

Enc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HARRISON GARRETT

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1960 and 1961.

Petitioner, Harrison Garrett, residing at Brookville Post Office, Baltimore, Maryland filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency issued under date of January 18, 1965, for personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1960 and 1961 in the amount of \$385.16 plus penalties and interest of \$160.99 for a total of \$546.15.

A hearing was duly held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on May 19, 1970, before Lawrence Newman, Hearing Officer. Petitioner was represented by Howard Colgan, Esq., Andrew Connick, Esq. and Robert Franklin, Esq. all of Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq., appearing by Solomon Sies, Esq. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is the proper method of allocating primary or underwriting profits by petitioner's partnership, an underwriter and dealer in securities, when as part of a public offering the partnership, as member of an underwriting syndicate managed by a New York based underwriter enters into an underwriting commitment for the purchase of securities of an issuing corporation, and the resulting effect of such allocation on petitioner's personal income tax liability.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, Harrison Garrett, did not file any New York
 State nonresident income tax returns for the years 1960 and 1961.

 Petitioner's share of the partnership income allocated to New York
 State on the partnership form IT-204, as filed, was insufficient to
 require the filing of New York State nonresident income tax returns.
- 2. On January 18, 1965, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Deficiency against petitioner, Harrison Garrett, for the years 1960 and 1961. Said Notice of Deficiency was based on petitioner's share, as a partner, of partnership income from primary or underwriting profits earned by Robert Garrett and Sons during the years in issue. Since the disposition of Harrison Garrett's petition is contingent on the State Tax Commission's determination in the Petition of Robert Garrett & Sons, the "Findings of Fact" in said decision are hereby adopted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That "Conclusions of Law" stated in the State Tax

 Commission's decision in the <u>Petition of Robert Garrett & Sons</u>,

 a copy of which is attached hereto, are hereby adopted.
- B. That petitioner, Harrison Garrett, is liable for personal income tax due on his proportionate share of the partnership, Robert Garrett & Sons' primary or underwriting profits allocated to New York State for the years 1960 and 1961, in the State Tax Commission decision in the Petition of Robert Garrett & Sons.
- C. That the deficiency is hereby affirmed and the petition accordingly denied.

DATED: Albany, New York December 8, 1976

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONED

COMMISSIONER

Pepartment of Taxation and Finance
TAX APPEALS BUREAU STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 STATE CAMPUS



Г

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

December 8, 1976

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-3850

Mr. Harrison Garrett Brookville Post Office Baltimore, Maryland

Dear Mr. Garrett:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(%) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Paul B. Coburn

Supervising Tax

Hearing Officer Petitioner's Representative: cc:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

Enc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HARRISON GARRETT

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1960 and 1961.

Petitioner, Harrison Garrett, residing at Brookville Post Office, Baltimore, Maryland filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency issued under date of January 18, 1965, for personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1960 and 1961 in the amount of \$385.16 plus penalties and interest of \$160.99 for a total of \$546.15.

A hearing was duly held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on May 19, 1970, before Lawrence Newman, Hearing Officer. Petitioner was represented by Howard Colgan, Esq., Andrew Connick, Esq. and Robert Franklin, Esq. all of Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq., appearing by Solomon Sies, Esq. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is the proper method of allocating primary or underwriting profits by petitioner's partnership, an underwriter and dealer in securities, when as part of a public offering the partnership, as member of an underwriting syndicate managed by a New York based underwriter enters into an underwriting commitment for the purchase of securities of an issuing corporation, and the resulting effect of such allocation on petitioner's personal income tax liability.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, Harrison Garrett, did not file any New York
 State nonresident income tax returns for the years 1960 and 1961.

 Petitioner's share of the partnership income allocated to New York
 State on the partnership form IT-204, as filed, was insufficient to
 require the filing of New York State nonresident income tax returns.
- 2. On January 18, 1965, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Deficiency against petitioner, Harrison Garrett, for the years 1960 and 1961. Said Notice of Deficiency was based on petitioner's share, as a partner, of partnership income from primary or underwriting profits earned by Robert Garrett and Sons during the years in issue. Since the disposition of Harrison Garrett's petition is contingent on the State Tax Commission's determination in the Petition of Robert Garrett & Sons, the "Findings of Fact" in said decision are hereby adopted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That "Conclusions of Law" stated in the State Tax

 Commission's decision in the <u>Petition of Robert Garrett & Sons</u>,

 a copy of which is attached hereto, are hereby adopted.
- B. That petitioner, Harrison Garrett, is liable for personal income tax due on his proportionate share of the partnership, Robert Garrett & Sons' primary or underwriting profits allocated to New York State for the years 1960 and 1961, in the State Tax Commission decision in the Petition of Robert Garrett & Sons.
- C. That the deficiency is hereby affirmed and the petition accordingly denied.

DATED: Albany, New York
December 8, 1976

STATE TAX COMMISSION

President

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER