In the Matter of the Petition

of

ARTHUR SHERMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representatives peritioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

5th day of August

. 1976.

Donna Decanton

TA-3 (2/76)

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Г

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

August 5, 1976

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-3850

Mr. Arthur Sherman 3 Stringer Place Dundas NSW 2117, Australia

Dear Mr. Sherman:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(**) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

FRANK-J. PUCCIA SUPERVISOR OF

TAX CONFERENCES

cc: Yekkedekkingkarranar:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

Enc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ARTHUR SHERMAN

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1970, 1971 and 1972.

Petitioner, Arthur Sherman, 3 Stringer Place, Dundas NSW 2117, Australia, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency pursuant to Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1970. 1971 and 1972. (File No. 2-29270155.) On April 9, 1976, petitioner advised the State Tax Commission in writing his desire to waive a small claims hearing and to submit the case to the State Tax Commission upon the evidence contained in the file.

ISSUE

Are the activities engaged in by the taxpayer "activities not engaged in for profit"?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner filed personal income tax returns for the years 1970, 1971 and 1972. On these returns Mr. Sherman claimed business losses attributable to his activities as a writer and sculptor.
- 2. On March 25, 1974, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Deficiency for \$1,445.15 resulting from a disallowance of

business expenses, claiming the activities of the taxpayer were not engaged in for profit.

- 3. Mr. Sherman was a full-time professor at New York City Community College from 1968 until August 31, 1972, at which time he left New York State and has taken residence in Australia as a result of several lucrative offers to pursue his career as a sculptor.
- 4. The City of New York Department of Cultural Affairs has recognized Mr. Sherman as an artist. The certification is from June 21, 1970 to June 21, 1974.
- 5. The sculpture created by the applicant has been in view at the Eileen Kuhlik Gallery in New York City.
- 6. Mr. Sherman has corresponded with Greater Las Vegas
 Chamber of Commerce, The Readers Digest Association and Mrs. Louis
 Armstrong among others in a direct attempt to market his creations.
- 7. Hooker Projects Pty. Limited of Sydney, Australia in a letter to Mr. Sherman, dated July 19, 1973, has confirmed a quotation of \$27,000 for a sculpture at No. 1 Oxford Street, Sydney, the terms therein set forth.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Petitioner is found to have engaged in his activities, as a sculptor for profit and accordingly deductions taken in connection with said activities are allowable under I.R.S. Code sections 162, 212(c)(1) and (2), and are not limited by section 183.

The petition of Arthur Sherman is granted and the Notice of Deficiency dated March 25, 1974, is cancelled and the Income Tax Bureau is instructed to issue such refund as may be lawfully owing together with interest thereon.

DATED: Albany, New York August 5, 1976 STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER