In the Matter of the Petition

of

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 29th day of September , 1977 , she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Max and Luba Abrotsky (representative xof) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. and Mrs. Max Abrotsky 7903 155th Avenue Howard Beach, New York 11414

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

29th day of September , 1977.

John Huhn



THOMAS H. LYNCH

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

September 29, 1977

Mr. and Mrs. Max Abrotsky 7903 155th Avenue Howard Beach, New York 11414

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Abrotsky:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 & 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

Joseph Chyrywaty Hearing Examiner

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MAX and LUBA ABROTSKY

DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income and Unincorporated Business Taxes under Articles 22 and 23 of the Tax Law for the Year 1972.

Petitioners, Max and Luba Abrotsky, residing at 7903 155th

Avenue, Howard Beach, New York 11414, have filed a petition for
redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income and
unincorporated business taxes under Articles 22 and 23 of the Tax

Law for the year 1972. (File No. 14171).

A small claims hearing was held before Harry Huebsch, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on April 26, 1977 at 2:45 P.M. Petitioner Max Abrotsky appeared pro se and for his wife. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (James Morris, Esq., of counsel).

## ISSUES

I. Did the activities of petitioner Max Abrotsky, as an insurance salesman, during the year 1972 constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business?

II. What was the total amount of estimated tax paid by petitioners, Max and Luba Abrotsky, for the year 1972?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner Max Abrotsky was an insurance agent working for Columbian Mutual Life Insurance Company, hereafter referred to as "Columbian", and its general agent, Safe-Way Agency, during the year 1972.
- 2. Petitioner worked under an employment contract for Columbian.

  He was provided with office space, telephone service, secretarial

  help and stationery at no cost to himself. Petitioner's only

  expenses were outside expenses such as gasoline, tolls and parking.
- 3. Columbian paid petitioner on a commission basis. It did not withhold taxes or social security from his compensation.

  Columbian provided major medical, disability, accident and life insurance at no cost to petitioner.
- 4. Petitioner was under the supervision of his general agent.

  He spent most of the day at the office engaged in following up leads

  by telephone and correspondence and following up on delinquent clients.

  He then worked in the field when he completed his inside work.
- 5. Petitioner did not maintain an office in his home. He had no business telephone listed in his name nor did he have his own letterhead. Petitioner did not hire assistants. Ninety-nine percent of his total business was placed with Columbian.

6. Petitioners reported \$100.00 estimated tax paid for the year 1972. The Income Tax Bureau's records showed \$50.00 estimated tax paid. Petitioners failed to submit any substantial evidence that an amount more than \$50.00 had been paid.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That petitioner Max Abrotsky's activities as an insurance agent for Columbian Mutual Life Insurance Company during the year 1972 constituted services performed as an employee and his income therefrom was exempt from the imposition of unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703(b) of the Tax Law.
- B. That petitioners, Max and Luba Abrotsky, paid only \$50.00 of the \$100.00 claimed as estimated tax paid for the year 1972.
- C. That the Income Tax Bureau is hereby directed to reduce the amount of the tax due shown on the Notice of Deficiency issued February 24, 1976 from \$325.58 to \$50.00 plus any interest that is legally owing.

D. That the petition of Max and Luba Abrotsky is granted to the extent as shown in C above, and is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

September 29, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER