of

CHARLES L. AND CATHERINE A. BERGMANN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) **Exicol(s)** 1964 through 1967.

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 28th day of February , 1977, whe served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Charles L. and
Catherine A. **Representative of* the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Charles L. Bergmann
80 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative bixxxxx) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

28th day of February , 1977.

and Track

Bruse Bottolelis

of

JOHN L. and MARION B. CULLEN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income

Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) and Personal 1964 through 1967.

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 28th day of February , 1977, whe served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon John L. and Marion B.

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. & Mrs. John L. Cullen c/o Shearson, Hammill & Co.

14 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (YENESSENKERIVE PRINTING) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (YENESSENKERIVE PRINTING) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

28th day of February , 1977.

Brua Batchelis

mut back

of

WALTER W. FRIEND, JR. & DORIS F. FRIEND

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(g) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) or Period (s) 1964 through 1967.

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

She is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 28thday of February, 1977, she served the within

Notice of Decision and Doris F. Friend (representative xof) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by (certified) mail upon Walter W. Friend. Jr.

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Walter W. Friend, Jr.

80 Pine Street

New York, New York 10005

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the frepresentative xof_{x} the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (xepresenxiativexxixtive) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

28th day of February , 1977. Such Battelen

CHARLES L. & CATHERINE A. BERGMANN, : AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

JOHN L. & MARION B. CULLEN & WALTER W. JR. & DORIS F. FRIEND

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or :

a Revision of a Determination or a Refund

of Personal Income

Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the

Tax Law for the Year(s) envPerion(s)

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 28th day of February , 1977, the served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Jack Wong. CPA

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Jack Wong. CPA

Oppenheim, Appel, Dixon & Co.

One New York Plaza New York, NY 10004

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

28th day of February , 1977.

Brue Batcheln

TA-3 (2/76)



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

February 28, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Mr. & Mrs. Charles L. Bergmann 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Bergmann:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(X) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

epp tralify thous

Enc.

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative:



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Pebruary 28, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Mr. & Mrs. John L. Cullen c/o Shearson, Hammill & Co. 14 Wall Street New York, New York 10005

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Cullen:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(2) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply

Very tryly /south

Enc.

rauz p. Coburn Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative:



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

February 28, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518)457-1723

Mr. & Mrs. Walter W. Friend, Jr. 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Friend:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(x) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper parts for reply.

Very yrung yours

Enc.

Paul B. Coburn Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	:
of	:
CHARLES L. and CATHERINE A. BERGMANN	: DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax	:
under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1964 through 1967.	:
rears 1904 through 1907.	:
In the Matter of the Petition	=
of	:
OF .	:
JOHN L. and MARION B. CULLEN	: DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax	:
under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1964 through 1967.	2 .
rears 1904 through 1907.	:
	==
In the Matter of the Petition	:
of	:
WALTER W. FRIEND, JR. and DORIS F. FRIEND	DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1964 through 1967.	:
	:
rears 1904 direction 1907.	:

Charles L. and Catherine H. Bergmann, John L. and Marion B.

Cullen and Walter W. Friend, Jr. and Doris F. Friend each filed

a petition under section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination

of separate deficiencies asserted against each for personal income

tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1964 through 1967.

The deficiency asserted against Mr. and Mrs. Bergmann was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 7-73200154 and is in the amount of \$6,787.00 plus interest of \$1,508.56 for a total of \$8,295.56.

The deficiency asserted against Mr. and Mrs. Cullen was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 7-730404132 and is in the amount of \$4,651.00 plus interest of \$1,079.95 for a total of \$5,726.95.

The deficiency against Mr. and Mrs. Friend was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 73216691 and is in the amount of \$3,234.30 plus interest of \$678.93 for a total of \$3,913.23.

A hearing was duly held on November 20, 1974, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer.

The petitioners were represented by Jack Wong, C.P.A. of Oppenheim, Appel, Dixon & Co. The Income Tax Bureau was represented

by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Alexander Weiss, Esq. of counsel.

The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether certain sums received by petitioners who are nonresidents were salaries subject to allocation between states according to the place of performance of the work, as alleged by petitioners, or whether such salaries were first paid into a partnership so that the sums in question were distributions from a partnership subject to allocation only according to the books of the partnership, as alleged by the Income Tax Bureau.

FINDUNGS OF FACT

- l. Petitioners were all nonresidents of New York. Charles L. Bergmann, John L. Cullen and Walter W. Friend were each general partners of R.W. Pressprich & Co., a partnership engaged in business as a securities broker and dealer.
- 2. R.W. Pressprich & Co. did business both inside and outside of New York State. Each nonresident partner accordingly reported to New York only a portion of his distributable share of partnership income as being derived from New York sources.

- 3. Charles L. Bergmann, John L. Cullen and Walter W. Friend were officers and employees of a corporation, the R.W. Pressprich & Co., Inc., and as such received salaries from the corporation.

 These salaries were reported by petitioners on their tax returns as being only in part from New York sources.
- 4. Mr. Bergmann, Mr. Cullen and Mr. Friend were covered as employees for unemployment insurance and the salaries were subject to withholding for social security and income taxes.
- 5. The salaries here in question were not included in the income of the partnership for Federal income tax purposes.
- 6. The deficiency here in issue attributes the salaries to the partnership and thereby increases the distributable share of each partner. Such increased distributable share as allocated to New York by the partnership's allocation ratio results in increased income allocated to New York.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The salaries here in question are not attributable to the partnership for purposes of the personal income tax.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Bergmann, is recomputed to be \$4,421.00 plus interest to the date of the deficiency of \$1,025.76 for a total of \$5,446.76.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Cullen, is recomputed

to be \$2,818.00 plus interest to the date of deficiency of \$659.76 for a total of \$3,477.76.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Friend, is recomputed to be \$3,010.30 plus interest to the date of deficiency of \$707.56 for a total of \$3,717.86.

Such sums are due together with such further interest as shall be computed under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York

February 28, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PKESIDENI

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER



г

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

February 28, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Mr. & Mrs. Charles L. Bergmann 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Bergmann:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(X) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party torreply.

Very tuly yours,

Enc.

#adl B. Coburn Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	•
of	1
CHARLES L. and CATHERINE A. BERGMANN	8
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency	DECISION
or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the	
Years 1964 through 1967.	•
In the Matter of the Petition	•
o f	t
JOHN L. and MARION B. CULLEN	DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax	•
under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1964 through 1967.	
In the Matter of the Petition	
of	
WALTER W. FRIEND, JR. and DORIS F. FRIEND	DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax	
under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1964 through 1967.	•
	:

Charles L. and Catherine H. Bergmann, John L. and Marion B.

Cullen and Walter W. Friend, Jr. and Doris F. Friend each filed
a petition under section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination
of separate deficiencies asserted against each for personal income
tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1964 through 1967.

The deficiency asserted against Mr. and Mrs. Bergmann was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 7-73200154 and is in the amount of \$6,787.00 plus interest of \$1,508.56 for a total of \$8,295.56.

The deficiency asserted against Mr. and Mrs. Cullen was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 7-730404132 and is in the amount of \$4,651.00 plus interest of \$1,079.95 for a total of \$5,726.95.

The deficiency against Mr. and Mrs. Friend was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 73216691 and is in the amount of \$3,234.30 plus interest of \$678.93 for a total of \$3,913.23.

A hearing was duly held on November 20, 1974, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer.

The petitioners were represented by Jack Wong, C.P.A. of Oppenheim, Appel, Dixon & Co. The Income Tax Bureau was represented

by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Alexander Weiss, Esq. of counsel.

The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether certain sums received by petitioners who are nonresidents were salaries subject to allocation between states according to the place of performance of the work, as alleged by petitioners, or whether such salaries were first paid into a partnership so that the sums in question were distributions from a partnership subject to allocation only according to the books of the partnership, as alleged by the Income Tax Bureau.

FINDUNGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners were all nonresidents of New York. Charles L. Bergmann, John L. Cullen and Walter W. Friend were each general partners of R.W. Pressprich & Co., a partnership engaged in business as a securities broker and dealer.
- 2. R.W. Pressprich & Co. did business both inside and outside of New York State. Each nonresident partner accordingly reported to New York only a portion of his distributable share of partner-ship income as being derived from New York sources.

- 3. Charles L. Bergmann, John L. Cullen and Walter W. Friend were officers and employees of a corporation, the R.W. Pressprich & Co., Inc., and as such received salaries from the corporation.

 These salaries were reported by petitioners on their tax returns as being only in part from New York sources.
- 4. Mr. Bergmann, Mr. Cullen and Mr. Friend were covered as employees for unemployment insurance and the salaries were subject to withholding for social security and income taxes.
- 5. The salaries here in question were not included in the income of the partnership for Federal income tax purposes.
- 6. The deficiency here in issue attributes the salaries to the partnership and thereby increases the distributable share of each partner. Such increased distributable share as allocated to New York by the partnership's allocation ratio results in increased income allocated to New York.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The salaries here in question are not attributable to the partnership for purposes of the personal income tax.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Bergmann, is recomputed to be \$4,421.00 plus interest to the date of the deficiency of \$1,025.76 for a total of \$5,446.76.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Cullen, is recomputed

to be \$2,818.00 plus interest to the date of deficiency of \$659.76 for a total of \$3,477.76.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Friend, is recomputed to be \$3,010.30 plus interest to the date of deficiency of \$707.56 for a total of \$3,717.86.

Such sums are due together with such further interest as shall be computed under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York

February 28, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONED

Department of Taxation and Finance
TAX APPEALS BUREAU TA-26 (4-76) 25M STATE OF NEW YORK FORMAL HEARING

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 STATE CAMPUS

Mr. & Mrs. Charles L. Bergmann 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

February 28, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518)457-1723

Mr. & Mrs. Walter W. Friend, Jr. 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Friend:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(x) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Enc.

Paul B. Coburn Supervising Tax

Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	:	
of	:	
CHARLES L. and CATHERINE A. BERGMANN	•	DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax	:	DICIDION
under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1964 through 1967.	:	•
rears 1904 chrough 1907.	:	
In the Matter of the Petition	:	
of	:	
JOHN L. and MARION B. CULLEN	•	DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax	•	
under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1964 through 1967.	:	•
reals 1991 enroagn 1997.	:	
	·	
In the Matter of the Petition	•	
of	:	
WALTER W. FRIEND, JR. and DORIS F. FRIEND	:	DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency	:	DECISION
or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1964 through 1967.	* ·	

Charles L. and Catherine H. Bergmann, John L. and Marion B.

Cullen and Walter W. Friend, Jr. and Doris F. Friend each filed

a petition under section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination

of separate deficiencies asserted against each for personal income

tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1964 through 1967.

The deficiency asserted against Mr. and Mrs. Bergmann was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 7-73200154 and is in the amount of \$6,787.00 plus interest of \$1,508.56 for a total of \$8,295.56.

The deficiency asserted against Mr. and Mrs. Cullen was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 7-730404132 and is in the amount of \$4,651.00 plus interest of \$1,079.95 for a total of \$5,726.95.

The deficiency against Mr. and Mrs. Friend was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 73216691 and is in the amount of \$3,234.30 plus interest of \$678.93 for a total of \$3,913.23.

A hearing was duly held on November 20, 1974, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer.

The petitioners were represented by Jack Wong, C.P.A. of Oppenheim, Appel, Dixon & Co. The Income Tax Bureau was represented

by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Alexander Weiss, Esq. of counsel.

The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether certain sums received by petitioners who are nonresidents were salaries subject to allocation between states according to the place of performance of the work, as alleged by petitioners, or whether such salaries were first paid into a partnership so that the sums in question were distributions from a partnership subject to allocation only according to the books of the partnership, as alleged by the Income Tax Bureau.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners were all nonresidents of New York. Charles L. Bergmann, John L. Cullen and Walter W. Friend were each general partners of R.W. Pressprich & Co., a partnership engaged in business as a securities broker and dealer.
- 2. R.W. Pressprich & Co. did business both inside and outside of New York State. Each nonresident partner accordingly reported to New York only a portion of his distributable share of partnership income as being derived from New York sources.

- 3. Charles L. Bergmann, John L. Cullen and Walter W. Friend were officers and employees of a corporation, the R.W. Pressprich & Co., Inc., and as such received salaries from the corporation.

 These salaries were reported by petitioners on their tax returns as being only in part from New York sources.
- 4. Mr. Bergmann, Mr. Cullen and Mr. Friend were covered as employees for unemployment insurance and the salaries were subject to withholding for social security and income taxes.
- 5. The salaries here in question were not included in the income of the partnership for Federal income tax purposes.
- 6. The deficiency here in issue attributes the salaries to the partnership and thereby increases the distributable share of each partner. Such increased distributable share as allocated to New York by the partnership's allocation ratio results in increased income allocated to New York.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The salaries here in question are not attributable to the partnership for purposes of the personal income tax.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Bergmann, is recomputed to be \$4,421.00 plus interest to the date of the deficiency of \$1,025.76 for a total of \$5,446.76.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Cullen, is recomputed

to be \$2,818.00 plus interest to the date of deficiency of \$659.76 for a total of \$3,477.76.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Friend, is recomputed to be \$3,010.30 plus interest to the date of deficiency of \$707.56 for a total of \$3,717.86.

Such sums are due together with such further interest as shall be computed under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York

February 28, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

•

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

TA-26 (4-76) 25M

STATE OF NEW YORK

Department of Taxation and Finance

TAX APPEALS BUREAU STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

Mr. & Mrs. Walter W. Friend, 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(sx) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) oxx reriod(s) 1964 through 1967.

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of , 1977, she served the within March by (certified) mail upon John L. and Marion B. Notice of Decision

Cullen (representative xxf) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. & Mrs. John L. Cullen

c/o Oppenheim & Co. 1 New York Plaza

10004 New York, NY and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representativex x x x x x) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

. 1977. 18thday of

ant much

Bruce Batchelia

Mrs. John L. Cullen TA-26 (4-76) 25M FORMAL HEARING Department of Taxation and Finance TAX APPEALS BUREAU STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 STATE CAMPUS

TA-26 (4-76) 25M FORMAL HEARING Department of Taxation and Finance TAX APPEALS BUREAU STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 STATE CAMPUS

Or & Mrs. John L. Cullen c/o Oppenheim & Co.

1 New York Plaza
New York, NY 10004



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

February 28, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

REMAILED: March 18, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. John L. Cullen c/o Shearson, Hammill & Co. 14 Wall Street New York, New York 10005

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Cullen:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(%) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper parts for reply.

Very truly yours

Enc.

War B. Coburn Supervising Tax

Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Years 1964 through 1967.

In the Matter of the Petition	:	
of	:	
CHARLES L. and CATHERINE A. BERGMANN	•	DEGLETON
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency	:	DECISION
or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the	:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Years 1964 through 1967.	:	
In the Matter of the Petition	:	
of	•	
JOHN L. and MARION B. CULLEN	:	DEGLETON
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency	:	DECISION
or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the	:	_
Years 1964 through 1967.	:	•
In the Matter of the Petition	:	•
of	:	
WALTER W. FRIEND, JR. and DORIS F. FRIEND	•	DECISION
for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax	:	DECISION
under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the	:	

Charles L. and Catherine H. Bergmann, John L. and Marion B.

Cullen and Walter W. Friend, Jr. and Doris F. Friend each filed

a petition under section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination

of separate deficiencies asserted against each for personal income

tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1964 through 1967.

The deficiency asserted against Mr. and Mrs. Bergmann was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 7-73200154 and is in the amount of \$6,787.00 plus interest of \$1,508.56 for a total of \$8,295.56.

The deficiency asserted against Mr. and Mrs. Cullen was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 7-730404132 and is in the amount of \$4,651.00 plus interest of \$1,079.95 for a total of \$5,726.95.

The deficiency against Mr. and Mrs. Friend was asserted by notice issued March 29, 1971, under File No. 73216691 and is in the amount of \$3,234.30 plus interest of \$678.93 for a total of \$3,913.23.

A hearing was duly held on November 20, 1974, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer.

The petitioners were represented by Jack Wong, C.P.A. of Oppenheim, Appel, Dixon & Co. The Income Tax Bureau was represented

by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Alexander Weiss, Esq. of counsel.

The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether certain sums received by petitioners who are nonresidents were salaries subject to allocation between states according to the place of performance of the work, as alleged by petitioners, or whether such salaries were first paid into a partnership so that the sums in question were distributions from a partnership subject to allocation only according to the books of the partnership, as alleged by the Income Tax Bureau.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners were all nonresidents of New York. Charles L. Bergmann, John L. Cullen and Walter W. Friend were each general partners of R.W. Pressprich & Co., a partnership engaged in business as a securities broker and dealer.
- 2. R.W. Pressprich & Co. did business both inside and outside of New York State. Each nonresident partner accordingly reported to New York only a portion of his distributable share of partnership income as being derived from New York sources.

- 3. Charles L. Bergmann, John L. Cullen and Walter W. Friend were officers and employees of a corporation, the R.W. Pressprich & Co., Inc., and as such received salaries from the corporation.

 These salaries were reported by petitioners on their tax returns as being only in part from New York sources.
- 4. Mr. Bergmann, Mr. Cullen and Mr. Friend were covered as employees for unemployment insurance and the salaries were subject to withholding for social security and income taxes.
- 5. The salaries here in question were not included in the income of the partnership for Federal income tax purposes.
- 6. The deficiency here in issue attributes the salaries to the partnership and thereby increases the distributable share of each partner. Such increased distributable share as allocated to New York by the partnership's allocation ratio results in increased income allocated to New York.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The salaries here in question are not attributable to the partnership for purposes of the personal income tax.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Bergmann, is recomputed to be \$4,421.00 plus interest to the date of the deficiency of \$1,025.76 for a total of \$5,446.76.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Cullen, is recomputed

to be \$2,818.00 plus interest to the date of deficiency of \$659.76 for a total of \$3,477.76.

The deficiency against petitioner, Mr. Friend, is recomputed to be \$3,010.30 plus interest to the date of deficiency of \$707.56 for a total of \$3,717.86.

Such sums are due together with such further interest as shall be computed under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York

February 28, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

100 0-

COMMICCIONED