of

LEON B. & ELIZABETH BERNSTEIN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the YearXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX 1968

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Marsina Donnini she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 19 77, she served the within age, and that on the 18th day of August

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Leon B. & Elizabeth

{representative (of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, Bernstein by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Leon B. Bernstein 1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, Penn. 19102

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

MEXIMUM petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the

Sworn to before me this

of

ROBERT L. & BERNICE A. FERST

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

 $Marsina\ Donnini$, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of August , 1977, she served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Robert L. & Bernice A. Ferst

(XEDICARRIXATIVEX OF X) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Robert L. Ferst

Rittenhouse Plaza, Apt. 9-A

1901 Walnut Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

18th day of August

, 1977.

Marsina Donnine

of

WILLIAM R. TERRES (DEC'D) AND ALICE W. TERRES:

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(x) Year(x)

1968

taka aC Na Wasil

State of New York County of Albany

 $Marsina\ Donnini$, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of August , 1977, she served the within

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: William R. Terres (Deceased) &

Alice W. Terres

909 Collenbrook Avenue

Drexel Hill, Pennsylvania 19026 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

ent back

18th day of August

. 1977.

Marseia Donnin

of

JEROME W. ROSENBERG AND LOIS ROSENBERG

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

The is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the lst day of September , 1977, The served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Jerome W. & Lois

Rosenberg (REPLEXENDENTIALEMENT) the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Jerome W. Rosenberg
2531 Monterey Avenue
St. Louis Park, Minnesota

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

1st day of September 1977

John Huhn

TA-3 (2/76)

of

JEROME W. & LOIS ROSENBERG

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article (\$) 22 of the

1968

State of New York

County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Marsina Donnini she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of , 19_{77} , she served the within August

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Jerome W. & Lois Rosenberg

(nepresentative(xof)) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Jerome W. & Lois Rosenberg 52 Butler Street

Kingston, Pennsylvania 18704

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (xepxesextextive polyche) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative volvethe) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

οf

JOSEPH F. & ALICE J. SPILBERG

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

 $_{
m Marsina\ Doninni}$, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of $_{
m August}$, 1977, she served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Joseph F. & Alice J. Spilberg

(xxepresentative xxfx) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows:

Mr. & Mrs. Joseph F. Spilberg 4019 Longridge Ave.

Sherman Oaks, California 91403

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

enet mack

18th day of August

, 19 77.

77. Marcina Donnini

οf

GEORGE L. ROSENBLOOM

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

 $Marsina\ Donnini$, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of August , 1977, she served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon George L. Rosenbloom

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. George L. Rosenbloom
1845 Walnut Street

1845 Walnut Street
Philadelphia, Penn. 19102

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

anet mark

18th day of August

, 1977.

Marsina Donnin

TA-3 (2/76)

of

RICHARD J. & EVE. OBERFIELD

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article (S) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year (S) (22)

State of New York County of Albany

 $m Marsina\ Donnini$, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of m August , 1977, she served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Richard J. & Eve. Oberfield

x(mennesentative xxxix) the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Richard J. Oberfield
220 West Ritten House Square
Philadelphia, Penn. 19103

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

18th day of August

. 19 77.

Marsina Donnin

of

ISADORE W. & LEE D. NEWMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Marsina Donnini , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of August , 1977, she served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Isadore W. & Lee D. Newman

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Isadore W. Newman

1901 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Apt. 1620

Philadelphia, Penn.

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

18th day of August

, 19₇₇.

naisina Donnin

οf

ISADORE W. & LEE D. NEWMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Marsina Donnini , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of August , 1977, she served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Isadore W. & Lee D. Newman

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Isadore W. Newman

1901 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Apt. 1620

Philadelphia, Penn.

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

18th day of August

, 19 77.

naisena Donnin

of LEWIS J. & SADYE A. LAVENTHOL

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article (%) 22 of the
Tax Law for the Year (%) (7)

State of New York County of Albany

 $Marsina\ Donnini$, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of August , 1977, she served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Lewis J. & Sadye A. Laventhol

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Lewis J. Laventhol

7101 Greene Street

Philadelphia, Penn. 19119

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

18th day of August

anet mach

. 1977

narsina Donnem

TA-3 (2/76)

of

I.H. & ANN Z. KREKSTEIN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

 $_{
m Marsina~Donnini}$, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the $_{
m 18th}$ day of $_{
m August}$, $_{
m 1977}$, she served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon I.H. & Ann Z. Krekstein

(representative xoff) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. & Mrs. I.H. Krekstein 1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, Penn. 19102

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

18th day of August

. 19 77.

Marsina Donnin

of

STANLEY D. & ELAINE L. FERST

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

 $Marsina\ Donnini$, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of August , 1977, she served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Stanley D. & Elaine L. Ferst

(YELECTRICAL LANGE OF THE POLITICAL IN THE WITHIN PROCEEDING,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Stanley D. Ferst
121 Overbrook Parkway
Philadelphia, Penn. 19151

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative potents) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

18th day of

August

met back

, 19 77.

Marsina Donnini

of

STANLEY D. FERST AND ELAINE L. FERST

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year *** YEAR *** 1968:

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

**The is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 1st day of September , 1977, **The served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Stanley D. & Elaine L.

Ferst (xepresentative xof) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Stanley D. Ferst

1830 Rittenhouse Sq. #8A
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative vixture) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative vixture) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

1st day of September , 1977.

John Huhn

of

LEON B. BERNSTEIN AND ELIZABETH BERNSTEIN
LEON G. DEETS AND CATHERINE DEETS
ROBERT L. FERST AND BERNICE A. FERST
WILLIAM R. TERRES (DEC'D) AND ALICE W. TERRES
JEROME W. ROSENBERG AND LOIS ROSENBERG
JOSEPH F. SPILBERG AND ALICE J. SPILBERG
GEORGE L. ROSENBLOOM

RICHARD J. OBERFIELD AND EVE OBERFIELD ISADORE W. NEWMAN AND LEE D. NEWMAN

LEWIS J. LAVENTHOL AND SADYE LAVENTHOL
I. H. KREKSTEIN AND ANN Z. KREKSTEIN and
STANLEY D. FERST AND ELAINE L. FERST

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1968. AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Marsina Donnini, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of August, 1977, she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon John F. Dinger, CPA representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: John F. Dinger, CPA of
Laventhol & Horwath, CPA's
1845 Walnut Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioners.

Sworn to before me this

18th day of August, 1977.



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

August 18, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. Leon B. Bernstein 1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, Penn. 19102

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Bernstein:
Please take notice of the Decision
of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(**) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Norths from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

PAUL B. COBURN

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

August 18, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. Stanley D. Ferst 121 Overbrook Parkway Philadelphia. Penn. 19151

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Ferst:

Please take notice of the **Notice of Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Norths from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerery,

PAUL B. CC

Supervising Tex Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227 August 18, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. I.H. Krekstein 1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, Penn. 19102

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Krekstein:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(**) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

PAUL B. COBURN Supervising Tex

Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION
TAX APPEALS BUREAU
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227
August 18, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. Lewis J. Leventhol. 7101 Greene Street Philadelphia, Penn. 19119

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Laventhol:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(**x**) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Honths from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Since lety,

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION
TAX APPEALS BUREAU
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227
August 18, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. Isadore W. Newman 1901 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Apt. 1620 Philadelphia, Penn.

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Newman:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Nonths from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

PAUL B. COBURN

Supervising Tax Hearing Officerf

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION
TAX APPEALS BUREAU
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227
August 18, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. Richard J. Oberfield 220 West Ritten House Square Philadelphia, Penn. 19105

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Oberfield:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(3) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227 August 18, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. Joseph F. Spilberg 4019 Longridge Ave. Sherman Caks, California 91403

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Spilberg:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Honths from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Since rely,

PAUL B. COBURNIC

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION
TAX APPEALS BUREAU
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227
August 18, 1977

Mr. George L. Rosenbloom 1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, Penn. 19102

Dear Mr. Rosenbloom:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(x) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Nonths from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

August 18, 1977

Jerome W. & Lois Rosenberg 52 Butler Street Kingston, Pennsylvania 18704

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Rosenberg:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(*) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION
TAX APPEALS BUREAU
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227
August 18, 1977

William R. Terres (Deceased) & Alice W. Terres 909 Collenbrook Avenue Drezel Hill, Pennsylvania 19026

Dear Mrs. Terros:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227 August 18, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. Robert L. Ferst Rittenhouse Plaza, Apt. 9-A 1901 Walnut Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Ferst:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section (18) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

ficerety,

Supervising Tax

Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

August 18, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. Leon G. Deets 10 Hawthorne Drive Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08034

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Deets:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section for the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Honths from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

w/ B7

incerely

PAUL B. COBURN Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative

of

LEON B. BERNSTEIN AND ELIZABETH BERNSTEIN
LEON G. DEETS AND CATHERINE DEETS
ROBERT L. FERST AND BERNICE A FERST
WILLIAM R. TERRES (DEC'D) AND ALICE W. TERRES
JEROME W. ROSENBERG AND LOIS ROSENBERG
JOSEPH F. SPILBERG AND ALICE J. SPILBERG
GEORGE L. ROSENBLOOM
RICHARD J. OBERFIELD AND EVE OBERFIELD
ISADORE W. NEWMAN AND LEE D. NEWMAN
LEWIS J. LAVENTHOL AND SADYE LAVENTHOL
I. H. KREKSTEIN AND ANN Z. KREKSTEIN and
STANLEY D. FERST AND ELAINE L. FERST

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1968.

The following petitioners have filed petitions for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the tax year 1968:

Leon B. Bernstein and Elizabeth Bernstein, 1845 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102; Leon G. Deets and Catherine Deets, 10 Hawthorne Drive, Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08034: Robert L. Ferst and Bernice A. Ferst, Rittenhouse Plaza, 1901 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; William R. Terres (dec'd) and Alice W. Terres, 909 Collenbrook Avenue Drexel Hill, Pennsylvania 19026; Jerome W. Rosenberg and Lois Rosenberg, 52 Butler Street,

Kingston, Pennsylvania 18704; Joseph F. Spilberg and Alice J.

Spilberg, 4019 Long Ridge Avenue, Sherman Oaks, California 91403;

George L. Rosenbloom, 1845 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

19102; Richard J. Oberfield and Eve Oberfield, 220 W. Rittenhouse

Square, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; Isadore W. Newman and

Lee D. Newman, 1901 J. F. Kennedy Boulevard, Philadelphia,

Pennsylvania 19103; Lewis J. Laventhol and Sadye A. Leventhol,

7107 Greene Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19119; I. H. Krekstein

and Ann Z. Krekstein, 1845 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

19102; and Stanley D. Ferst and Elaine L. Ferst, 121 Overbrook

Parkway, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19151. (File Nos. 00371,

00683, 00684, 00685, 00686, 00687, 00688, 00689, 00690, 00691,

00692, 00693).

A formal hearing was held before William J. Dean, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on March 21, 1977, at 9:30 A.M. Petitioners appeared by John F. Dinger, Certified Public Accountant. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Frank Levitt, Esq. of counsel).

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether nonresident partners must allocate income received as a distributive share of partnership income in the same manner of allocation as provided in an audit of an amended partnership return.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On April 14, 1972, the Income Tax Bureau issued the following notices of deficiency to these petitioners in these amounts (plus interest) for the 1968 tax year: Leon Bernstein and Elizabeth Bernstein, \$813.91; Leon G. Deets and Catherine Deets, \$262.77; Robert L. Ferst and Bernice A. Ferst, \$559.72; Stanley D. Ferst and Elaine L. Ferst, \$597.80; I. H. Krekstein and Ann Z. Krekstein, \$1,416.39; Lewis J. Laventhol and Sadye A. Laventhol, \$370.35; Isadore W. Newman and Lee D. Newman, \$527.29; Richard J. Oberfield and Eve Oberfield, \$674.34; George L. Rosenbloom, \$938.78; Joseph F. Spilberg and Alice J. Spilberg, \$252.27; Jerome W. Rosenberg and Lois Rosenberg, \$43.74; and William R. Terres (decd) and Alice W. Terres, \$213.63.
- 2. On the same date the Income Tax Bureau issued statements of audit changes to petitioners reading in pertinent part as follows: "As a result of audit of the amended fiscal year ended 1/31/68 partnership return of Laventhol, Krekstein, Horwath & Horwath the New York allocation percentage was computed at 30.62599%."
- 3. On March 31, 1972, the Income Tax Bureau had written as follows to Laventhol, Krekstein, Horwath & Horwath regarding an audit of its amended partnership return for the fiscal year ending January 31, 1968:

"The method of distribution of partnership income between resident and nonresident partners as set forth in your amended fiscal year ended 1/31/68 New York partnership return does not fairly and equitably reflect New York State income."

* * *

"Payments to partners are not to be considered a deduction in arriving at net income from the New York office. In addition, even though the partnership agreement may characterize a payment to a member partner as salary or interest on capital, all such amounts are distributions of profit and, as such, are subject to allocation in accordance with Section 637 of the New York State Personal Income Tax Law."

- 4. Petitioners are nonresidents and were partners of Laventhol, Krekstein, Horwath & Horwath, 1528 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102. The partnership operated three offices in New York during its fiscal year ending January 31, 1968.
- 5. Petitioners state that the records of the New York City offices are no longer available to prove or disprove the partnership percentage of income that has been allocated to New York by the Income Tax Bureau, thereby making it impossible for them to reconstruct, with any degree of accuracy, the income earned by these offices.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That nonresident partners must allocate income received as a distributive share of partnership income in the same manner of allocation shown on the partnership return, or as in the present situation, in the same manner of allocation as provided in an audit of an amended partnership return.

- B. That the New York allocation percentage, as determined by the Income Tax Bureau, is 30.62599%. The burden of proof is upon petitioners to refute the validity of this determination. Petitioners have failed to sustain their burden of proof.
- C. That the petitions of Leon B. Bernstein and Elizabeth Bernstein, Leon G. Deets and Catherine Deets, Robert L. Ferst and Bernice A. Ferst, William R. Terres (decd) and Alice W. Terres, Jerome W. Rosenberg and Lois Rosenberg, Joseph F. Spilberg and Alice J. Spilberg, George L. Rosenbloom, Richard J. Oberfield and Eve Oberfield, Isadore W. Newman and Lee D. Newman, Lewis J. Laventhol and Sadye A. Laventhol, I. H. Krekstein and Ann Z. Krekstein and Stanley D. Ferst and Elaine L. Ferst are denied and the notices of deficiency issued April 14, 1972 to each of these petitioners are sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York
August 18, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

1 Millim

COMMISSIONER

TA-26 (4-76) 25M FORMAL HEARING STATE OF NEW YORK

Department of Taxation and Finance
TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

Mr. & Mrs. Stanley D. Ferst 121 Overbrook Parkway Philadelphia, Penn. 19151

CE CO. DEPT. OF TAY & TAY & TAY HE WALL G

TA-26 (4-76) 25M FORML HEALTHU

Department of Taxation and Finance
TAX APPEALS BUREAU
STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

SENDER

EXPIRED

Jerome W. & Lois Rosenberg 52 Butler Street Kingston, Pennsylvania 18794

AUG 2 & 1977

Department of Taxation and Finance TAX APPEALS BUREAU STATE OF NEW YORK STATE CAMPUS 909 Collenbrook Avenue William R. Terres (Deceased) & Hill, Pennsylvania 19026

TA-26 (4-78) 25M FORMAL TEARING



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

August 18, 1977

(Barratain)

William R. Terres (Deceased) & Alice W. Terres
909 Collenbrook Avenue
Drexel Hill, Pennsylvania 19026

Dear Mrs. Terres:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(%) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

AVL B. COBURN Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions

of

LEON B. BERNSTEIN AND ELIZABETH BERNSTEIN
LEON G. DEETS AND CATHERINE DEETS
ROBERT L. FERST AND BERNICE A FERST
WILLIAM R. TERRES (DEC'D) AND ALICE W. TERRES
JEROME W. ROSENBERG AND LOIS ROSENBERG
JOSEPH F. SPILBERG AND ALICE J. SPILBERG
GEORGE L. ROSENBLOOM
RICHARD J. OBERFIELD AND EVE OBERFIELD
ISADORE W. NEWMAN AND LEE D. NEWMAN
LEWIS J. LAVENTHOL AND SADYE LAVENTHOL
I. H. KREKSTEIN AND ANN Z. KREKSTEIN and
STANLEY D. FERST AND ELAINE L. FERST

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1968.

The following petitioners have filed petitions for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the tax year 1968:

Leon B. Bernstein and Elizabeth Bernstein, 1845 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102; Leon G. Deets and Catherine Deets, 10 Hawthorne Drive, Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08034: Robert L. Ferst and Bernice A. Ferst, Rittenhouse Plaza, 1901 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; William R. Terres (dec'd) and Alice W. Terres, 909 Collenbrook Avenue Drexel Hill, Pennsylvania 19026; Jerome W. Rosenberg and Lois Rosenberg, 52 Butler Street,

Kingston, Pennsylvania 18704; Joseph F. Spilberg and Alice J.

Spilberg, 4019 Long Ridge Avenue, Sherman Oaks, California 91403;

George L. Rosenbloom, 1845 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

19102; Richard J. Oberfield and Eve Oberfield, 220 W. Rittenhouse

Square, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; Isadore W. Newman and

Lee D. Newman, 1901 J. F. Kennedy Boulevard, Philadelphia,

Pennsylvania 19103; Lewis J. Laventhol and Sadye A. Leventhol,

7107 Greene Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19119; I. H. Krekstein

and Ann Z. Krekstein, 1845 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

19102; and Stanley D. Ferst and Elaine L. Ferst, 121 Overbrook

Parkway, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19151. (File Nos. 00371,

00683, 00684, 00685, 00686, 00687, 00688, 00689, 00690, 00691,

00692, 00693).

A formal hearing was held before William J. Dean, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on March 21, 1977, at 9:30 A.M. Petitioners appeared by John F. Dinger, Certified Public Accountant. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Frank Levitt, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether nonresident partners must allocate income received as a distributive share of partnership income in the same manner of allocation as provided in an audit of an amended partnership return.

CORRECTION FOLLOWS



NOTE: THE FOLLOWING
DOCUMENT(S) ARE BEING REFILMED
TO ENSURE CLARITY

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

Kingston, Pennsylvania 18704; Joseph F. Spilberg and Alice J.

Spilberg, 4019 Long Ridge Avenue, Sherman Oaks, California 91403;

George L. Rosenbloom, 1845 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102; Richard J. Oberfield and Eve Oberfield, 220 W. Rittenhouse Square, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; Isadore W. Newman and Lee D. Newman, 1901 J. F. Kennedy Boulevard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; Lewis J. Laventhol and Sadye A. Leventhol, 7107 Greene Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19119; I. H. Krekstein and Ann Z. Krekstein, 1845 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102; and Stanley D. Ferst and Elaine L. Ferst, 121 Overbrook Parkway, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19151. (File Nos. 00371, 00683, 00684, 00685, 00686, 00687, 00688, 00689, 00690, 00691, 00692, 00693).

A formal hearing was held before William J. Dean, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on March 21, 1977, at 9:30 A.M. Petitioners appeared by John F. Dinger, Certified Public Accountant. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Frank Levitt, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether nonresident partners must allocate income received as a distributive share of partnership income in the same manner of allocation as provided in an audit of an amended partnership return.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On April 14, 1972, the Income Tax Bureau issued the following notices of deficiency to these petitioners in these amounts (plus interest) for the 1968 tax year: Leon Bernstein and Elizabeth Bernstein, \$813.91; Leon G. Deets and Catherine Deets, \$262.77; Robert L. Ferst and Bernice A. Ferst, \$559.72; Stanley D. Ferst and Elaine L. Ferst, \$597.80; I. H. Krekstein and Ann Z. Krekstein, \$1,416.39; Lewis J. Laventhol and Sadye A. Laventhol, \$370.35; Isadore W. Newman and Lee D. Newman, \$527.29; Richard J. Oberfield and Eve Oberfield, \$674.34; George L. Rosenbloom, \$938.78; Joseph F. Spilberg and Alice J. Spilberg, \$252.27; Jerome W. Rosenberg and Lois Rosenberg, \$43.74; and William R. Terres (decd) and Alice W. Terres, \$213.63.
- 2. On the same date the Income Tax Bureau issued statements of audit changes to petitioners reading in pertinent part as follows: "As a result of audit of the amended fiscal year ended 1/31/68 partnership return of Laventhol, Krekstein, Horwath & Horwath the New York allocation percentage was computed at 30.62599%."
- 3. On March 31, 1972, the Income Tax Bureau had written as follows to Laventhol, Krekstein, Horwath & Horwath regarding an audit of its amended partnership return for the fiscal year ending January 31, 1968:

"The method of distribution of partnership income between resident and nonresident partners as set forth in your amended fiscal year ended 1/31/68 New York partnership return does not fairly and equitably reflect New York State income."

* * *

"Payments to partners are not to be considered a deduction in arriving at net income from the New York office. In addition, even though the partnership agreement may characterize a payment to a member partner as salary or interest on capital, all such amounts are distributions of profit and, as such, are subject to allocation in accordance with Section 637 of the New York State Personal Income Tax Law."

- 4. Petitioners are nonresidents and were partners of Laventhol, Krekstein, Horwath & Horwath, 1528 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102. The partnership operated three offices in New York during its fiscal year ending January 31, 1968.
- 5. Petitioners state that the records of the New York City offices are no longer available to prove or disprove the partnership percentage of income that has been allocated to New York by the Income Tax Bureau, thereby making it impossible for them to reconstruct, with any degree of accuracy, the income earned by these offices.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That nonresident partners must allocate income received as a distributive share of partnership income in the same manner of allocation shown on the partnership return, or as in the present situation, in the same manner of allocation as provided in an audit of an amended partnership return.

- B. That the New York allocation percentage, as determined by the Income Tax Bureau, is 30.62599%. The burden of proof is upon petitioners to refute the validity of this determination. Petitioners have failed to sustain their burden of proof.
- C. That the petitions of Leon B. Bernstein and Elizabeth Bernstein, Leon G. Deets and Catherine Deets, Robert L. Ferst and Bernice A. Ferst, William R. Terres (decd) and Alice W. Terres, Jerome W. Rosenberg and Lois Rosenberg, Joseph F. Spilberg and Alice J. Spilberg, George L. Rosenbloom, Richard J. Oberfield and Eve Oberfield, Isadore W. Newman and Lee D. Newman, Lewis J. Laventhol and Sadye A. Laventhol, I. H. Krekstein and Ann Z. Krekstein and Stanley D. Ferst and Elaine L. Ferst are denied and the notices of deficiency issued April 14, 1972 to each of these petitioners are sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York
August 18, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRES IDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER