In the Matter of the Petition

of

PAUL BURGER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income and : Taxes under Article(s) 22 & 23 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) **Exercise **Comparison of the Tax Law for the Year(s) **Exercise **Comparison of the Tax Law for the Year(s) **Exercise **Comparison of a Deficiency or : 1963 through 1971.

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 29th day of September , 1977, the served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Paul Burger

(representative xxf) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

Mr. Paul Burger

as follows:

6700 192nd Street Flushing, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative next) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative retaine) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

29th day of September , 1977.

John Huhn

In the Matter of the Petition

of

PAUL BURGER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 29th day of September , 1977, The served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Nathan Altman

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

as follows:

Nathan Altman, CPA

Altman, Eisenberg & Company

570 Seventh Avenue

New York, New York 10018

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

29th day of September , 1977

and Back

John Huhn



JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT MILTON KOERNER THOMAS H. LYNCH

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

September 29, 1977

Mr. Paul Burger 6700 192nd Street Flushing, New York

Dear Mr. Burger:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative of the Tax Law, any level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 & 722 proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely

sallecito

Tax Appeals Bureau

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions

of

PAUL BURGER

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income and Unincorporated Business Taxes under Articles 22 and 23 of the Tax Law for the Years 1963 through 1971.

Petitioner, Paul Burger, 192 04 71st Crescent, Fresh Meadows, New York 11365, filed petitions for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income and unincorporated business taxes under Articles 22 and 23 of the Tax Law for the years 1963 through 1971. (File Nos. 13099, 13100 and 13101). A formal hearing was held before Julius Braun, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York on May 24, 1977 at 1:15 P.M. The petitioner appeared by Nathan Altman, CPA. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Abraham Schwartz, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether petitioner, Paul Burger's selling activities during the years 1963 through 1971 constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Paul Burger, filed New York State income tax resident returns for the years 1963 through 1971. He did not file New York State unincorporated business tax returns for said years.

- 2. The Income Tax Bureau issued statements of audit changes against petitioner, Paul Burger, imposing unincorporated business tax upon the income received by him from his activities as a handbag salesman during the years in issue. It also imposed additional personal income tax based upon the examination of his income tax return for the year 1970. This additional assessment was not contested by petitioner. In accordance with the aforesaid statements of audit changes, the Income Tax Bureau issued notices of deficiency in the sums of \$14,583.33, and \$3,800.95.
- 3. Petitioner, Paul Burger, was a handbag salesman during the years 1963 through 1971. He represented two firms in the sale of handbags. One line was leather handbags while the other was a cheaper line made of vinyl. The products sold by him for each firm were non-competitive. He did not have an office or employees.
- 4. The firms for whom petitioner, Paul Burger, sold handbags did not withhold Federal or New York State income taxes or social security tax from the commissions paid to him during the years 1963 through 1971. They did not cover him for unemployment insurance. He was not reimbursed for any of his business expenses, including customer cooperative advertising. He deducted these business expenses on Schedule "C" of his Federal income tax returns. He did not have a written employment contract. The firms for whom he sold merchandise did not exercise any substantial supervision or control over his sales activities or techniques, or over the time he devoted to sales except to limit the territory in which he could sell. Petitioner had no retirement plan with either company.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the income received by petitioner, Paul Burger, from the firms that he represented during the years 1963 through 1971 constituted income from his regular business of selling handbags and not compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of the unincorporated business tax, in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703(b) of the Tax Law.
- B. That the aforesaid activities of petitioner, Paul Burger, during the years in issue constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business and that his income derived therefrom was subject to the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 703 of the Tax Law. (Matter of Paul Burger, S.T.C. March 30, 1972).
- C. That the petition of Paul Burger is denied and the notices of deficiency issued against him are sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

September 29, 1977

ETATE TAX COMMISSION

CHANTERTAMON

COMMISSIONER