In the Matter of the Petition

of

WILLIAM E. FRIEDMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

Whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 26 day of April , 19 77 she served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon William E. Friedman

(xepressive xof) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. William E. Friedman

70 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

26 day of April , 1977.

aret Track

Bruce Batcheles

TA-3 (2/76)

In the Matter of the Petition

of

WILLIAM E. FRIEDMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

by (certified) mail upon Abraham J. Briloff, CPA

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 26 day of April , 1977, whe served the within

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Abraham J. Briloff, CPA

Notice of Decision

52 Gramercy Park

New York, New York 10010

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

26 day of April

, 1977.

Bruce Bottobolor



Г

# STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

April 26, 1977

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

MR. William E. Friedman 70 Pine Street New York, New York 10005

Dear Sir:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours

Frank J. Puccia

Enc.

Supervisor of

Small Claims Hearings

cc:

Petitioner's Representative:

Abraham J. Briloff, CPA

52 Gramercy Park

Nawing bureaus Ryprksentative?

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

 $\circ f$ 

WILLIAM E. FRIEDMAN

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1970 and 1972.

:

Petitioner, William E. Friedman, residing at 70 Pine Street, New York, New York 10005, has filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1970 and 1972 (File Nos. 0-58940369 and 2-26102580).

A small claims hearing was held before Joseph A. Milack,
Small Claims Hearing Officer, on September 13, 1976 at 10:45 A.M.
at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center,
New York, New York. The petitioner appeared by Abraham J. Briloff,
C.P.A. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq., (Frank
Levitt, Esq. of counsel).

#### **ISSUES**

I. Whether petitioner, William E. Friedman, is entitled to deductions under section 162(a) of the Internal Revenue Code for amounts claimed as unreimbursed business expenses.

II. Whether petitioner, William E. Friedman, is entitled to deductions under section 170 of the Internal Revenue Code for amounts claimed as charitable contributions.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, William E. Friedman, and his wife, filed New York State combined income tax returns for the years 1970 and 1972.
- 2. On January 16, 1973, the Income Tax Bureau filed a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioner, William E. Friedman, imposing additional income tax due for the year 1970 upon the grounds that he failed to substantiate amounts claimed as business expenses and charitable contributions. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$1,277.46.
- 3. On October 28, 1975, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioner, William E. Friedman, imposing additional income tax due for the year 1972 upon the same grounds for which it issued the Statement of Audit Changes against petitioner on January 16, 1973. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes issued on October 28, 1975, it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$1,752.53.
- 4. Petitioner, William E. Friedman, claimed business expenses in the sum of \$7,500.00 and charitable contributions in the sum of \$5,072.00 on his 1970 New York State income tax return; similar deductions in the sum of \$7,500.00 and \$8,500.00, respectively were deducted on his 1972 New York State income tax return.

- 5. The Income Tax Bureau disallowed business expenses claimed by petitioner, William E. Friedman, for the years 1970 and 1972 in full and it disallowed charitable contributions claimed to the extent of \$288.00 for the year 1970 and \$1,930.00 for the year 1972. The business expenses and charitable contributions were disallowed upon the grounds that they were not substantiated.
- 6. Petitioner, William E. Friedman, presented no documentary evidence to substantiate the disallowed deductions nor did he appear in person at the small claims hearing to offer oral testimony.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That, petitioner, William E. Friedman, has failed to substantiate amounts claimed under section 162(a) of the Internal Revenue Code as business expenses for the years 1970 and 1972 in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code and Regulation section 1.274-5.
- B. That, petitioner, William E. Friedman, has failed to substantiate amounts claimed under section 170 of the Internal Revenue Code as charitable contributions for the years 1970 and 1972.
- C. That, the petition of William E. Friedman is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued on February 25, 1974 and January 26, 1976 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York
April 26, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER