In the Matter of the Petition

of
WILLIAM H. GREGORY, III
and

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

The is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 3rd day of October , 1977, The served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon William H. Gregory, III &

Elizabeth C. Gregory (REPRESENTATIONENT) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows:

Mr. & Mrs. William H. Gregory, III
BOX 488
Locust Valley, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative refere) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative refere) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

3rd day of October . 1977.

John Huhn

Jamt Mack

In the Matter of the Petition

william H. GREGORY, III

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

ELIZABETH C. GREGORY
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund Personal Income Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) xxxxxixxxx 1967 and 1968.

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that John Huhn whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of October , 1977, whe served the within by (certified) mail upon Bertram Gezelter Notice of Decision

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed Bertram Gezelter, CPA as follows:

Biller & Snyder 75 Maiden Lane

New York, New York 10038

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

3rd day of October

anet mack

John Huhn



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

October 3, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. William H. Gregory, III Box 488 Locust Valley, New York

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Gregory:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

John J. Sollecito

Director

Tax Appeals Bureau

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

WILLIAM H. GREGORY, III and ELIZABETH C. GREGORY **DECISION**

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1967 and 1968.

William H. Gregory, III and Elizabeth C. Gregory, Box 488, Locust Valley, New York, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1967 and 1968. (File No. 01255)

A formal hearing was held before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on June 5, 1973 at 9:15 A.M. The petitioners appeared by Bertram Gezelter, CPA, of Biller and Snyder. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq. (James A. Scott, Esq., of counsel).

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether petitioners were entitled to claim different net operating loss carryback deductions for New York State income tax purposes, than for Federal income tax purposes.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner William H. Gregory, III was a partner in the firm of Gregory and Sons, a partnership engaged in the security brokerage business in New York City. In 1969, the firm sustained a substantial business loss, and petitioner William H. Gregory, III reported his share of this loss on the joint 1969 Federal and New York State resident income tax returns which he filed with his wife, petitioner Elizabeth C. Gregory.
- 2. On their 1969 Federal return, petitioners reported a negative Federal taxable income of \$1,386,930.00. Petitioners filed an application for refund of Federal income tax based on the carry-back of net operating loss for 1969. They received a Federal refund in the amount of tax previously paid on 1967 and 1968 Federal taxable income reported for those years of \$135,243.00 and \$17,900.00, respectively. Petitioners did not receive a refund of Federal income tax for the year 1966, as they reported a negative taxable income for 1966 in the amount of \$15,943.00. The computation of the Federal net operating loss deduction is not in dispute.
- 3. On their 1969 New York State personal income tax return, petitioners calculated their New York taxable income to be the negative amount of \$1,339,618.94. They also reported negative New York taxable income on their 1966 New York State income tax return, but reported New York taxable income of \$189,862.79 and \$94,640.34 for 1967 and 1968, respectively.

- 4. Petitioners calculated different net operating loss carrybacks, regarding the years 1966, 1967 and 1968, for New York State purposes than for Federal purposes. They also claimed deductions for the years 1967 and 1968 and applied for refunds of New York personal income tax for the years 1967 and 1968.
- 5. Petitioners contended that since they were required to modify their Federal income for the year of the net operating loss by the modifications required under section 612(b) and (c) of the Tax Law, they should be permitted to compute a New York net operating loss different in amount from the Federal net operating loss, so as to take into account the New York modifications. Petitioners proposed that in computing the amount of the New York net operating loss for the loss year, their Federal taxable income should first be modified by the modifications required by sections 612(b) and (c) of the Tax Law, before applying the modifications required under sections 172(d)(2)(3) and (5) of the Internal Revenue Code. The amount of the New York net operating loss computed in this manner would then be applied, in full, in the first carryback year against the Federal taxable income for said year, as modified in accordance with the requirements of sections 612(b) and (c) of the Tax Law. This would be done before applying the modifications required by sections 172(d)(2)(3) and (5) of the Internal Revenue Code. portion of the New York net operating loss not applied under

this method on the New York return, in the first carryback year, would then be applied in a similar manner in the next carryback year or years.

6. In computing the partial refund of 1967 and 1968 New York personal income tax allowed to petitioners by the Income Tax Bureau, in connection with the 1969 Federal net operating loss, the deductions allowed for 1967 and 1968 were limited to the amounts of positive Federal taxable income for the respective years of \$135,243.00 and \$17,900.00. After said deductions, the petitioners had remaining New York taxable income of \$54,619.57 for 1967 and \$76,740.34 for 1968.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the amount of net operating loss and the portion thereof allowable as a deduction in each of the carryback years is determined in accordance with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. The portion of the net operating loss allowable as a carryback deduction is limited (under section 172(b)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code) to the amount of the modified taxable income computed thereunder, and this modified taxable income for such a year may not be less than zero. The portions of the net operating loss allowed as deductions in the carryback years were deductions used in recomputing petitioners' Federal adjusted gross income for said years. Therefore, the amounts allowed as carryback deductions for Federal income tax purposes would also be the amounts permitted for New York State income tax

purposes within the meaning and intent of Article 22 of the Tax Law. The Tax Law makes no provision which would allow a net operating loss deduction, or carryback or carryover deduction, which exceeded the allowance for Federal income tax purposes.

B. That the petition and the claims for refund of petitioners, William H. Gregory, III and Elizabeth C. Gregory, are denied and the Notice of Partial Refund Allowance issued December 16, 1970 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York October 3, 1977 TATE TAX COMMISSION

VIA OTT

COMMISSIONED

TIME FOR FORWARIUNG Mr. & Mrs. Wil Box 488 FORMAL HEARING Department of Taxation and Finance TAX APPEALS BUREAU STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 STATE CAMPUS TA-26 (4-76) 25M

Locust Valley, New York

In the Matter of the Petition

WILLIAM H. GREGORY, III

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

The is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 19th day of October , 1977, The served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon William H., III & Elizabeth C. Gregory (Karatentative of the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows:

Mr. & Mrs. William H. Gregory, III

c/o Bache Co.

100 Gold Street

New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative name) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative name) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

19th day of October , 1977

John Huhn

.

TA-3 (2/76)