In the Matter of the Petition

of

IRVING LEVINE

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that When is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 16th day of March , 1978, When served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Irving Levine

(XEXPLESE EXCLUSIVEX XXX) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Irving Levine
209-03 56th Avenue
Bayside, New York 11365

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

enet Mack

16th day of March

1978

TA-3 (2/76)

In the Matter of the Petition

of

IRVING LEVINE

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

Whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

age, and that on the 16th day of March

, 1978 , whe served the within

Elliot L. Schaeffer, Esq. of

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Schaeffer, Schaeffer & Sands,

Esqs.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

Elliot L. Schaeffer, Esq. of

as follows: Schaeffer, Schaeffer & Sands, Esqs.

450 7th Avenue

New York, New York 10001

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

met Mack

16th day of Manch

, 19 78

John bluhn



JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT
MILTON KOERNER
THOMAS H. LYNCH

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

March 16, 1978

Irving Levine 209-03 56th Avenue Bayside, New York 11365

Dear Mr. Levine:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

JOSEPHICHYMTY HEARING EXAMINER

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

## STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

IRVING LEVINE

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

Petitioner, Irving Levine, 209-03 56th Avenue, Bayside, New York 11365, filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970 (File No. 11239).

A small claims hearing was held before William Valcarcel, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on June 2, 1977. The petitioner appeared with Elliot L. Schaeffer, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Abraham Schwartz, Esq., of counsel).

## ISSUES

- I. Whether petitioner was subject to a penalty in accordance with section 685(g) of the Tax Law.
- II. Whether a Notice of Deficiency asserted against petitioner was issued within the statutory period prescribed by the Tax Law.